

PERCEIVED ENVIRONMENTAL UNCERTAINTY, MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND DECENTRALIZATION

Kirmizi Ritonga

Faculty of Economics, University of Riau

e-mail: kirmiziritonga@ymail.com

Abstract

This study examines the effect of perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU) on the design of management accounting information system (MAIS) which is moderated by decentralization. MAIS is designed to provide chief executive officers information to make decision, planning, and controlling which was defined in terms of the extent to which managers use time information characteristics of broad scope, timeliness, and aggregation in manufacturing firms. The study involved 158 chief executive officers that have been responsible for an organization, drawn from the manufacturing companies in Jakarta, Tangerang, Bogor, and Karawang. The questionnaire survey, which was analyzed by using a regression analysis, suggests that PEU have an effect on the aggregated MAS information moderated by decentralization.

Keywords: *PEU, MAIS, Manufacturing Companies, Decentralization*

Abstrak

Penelitian ini mengkaji pengaruh perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU)/ persepsi ketidakpastian lingkungan terhadap rancangan management accounting information system (MAIS)/sistem informasi akuntansi manajemen yang semakin termoderasi dengan adanya desentralisasi. MAIS dirancang untuk menyediakan informasi bagi para chief executive officers (CEO) untuk membuat keputusan, perencanaan, dan pengendalian ruang lingkup yang luas, ketepatan waktu, dan agregasi pada perusahaan manufaktur. Penelitian ini melibatkan 158 CEO yang bertanggung jawab terhadap perusahaan-perusahaan manufaktur di Jakarta, Tangerang, Bogor, dan Karawang. Hasil survei kuisisioner yang dianalisis dengan menggunakan analisis regresi, menunjukkan bahwa PEU berpengaruh terhadap informasi MAS yang teragregasi yang termoderasi oleh desentralisasi.

Kata kunci: *PEU, MAIS, Perusahaan Manufaktur, Desentralisasi*

INTRODUCTION

The adoption of a contingency approach to management accounting research has led to the identification of factors, which potentially affect the efficacy of management accounting systems. The concept of this approach is that there is no single management accounting system or design that can be applied effectively to all conditions or organizations; rather, a certain management accounting system is only effective for certain situations or organizations. This approach, in other word, argues that management accounting systems will be effective if the conditions of the organizations are consistent with the systems. This study is more emphasize on application of contingency theory where information capacity or controlling system have to fulfil the requirements or demand of users resulting from the uncertainty faced of organization (Tushman and Nadler, 1978; Gerloff, 1985). A number of studies, which applied contingency theory, have examined the relationship between contextual variables and management accounting systems (MAS) design such as studies performed by Gul (1991); Mia (1993); Gul and Chia (1994) that

have provided strong empirical evidence to support the proposition that PEU affects MAS design. The samples are the manufacturing companies in developed countries such as Australia, Hongkong, and Singapore, which have dissimilar business environment, social, and cultural conditions to that of other developing countries in South East Asia region, such as Indonesia. However, this study was conducted in Indonesia's manufacturing companies that focuses on the effect of PEU on the design of MAS, which is moderated by decentralization. Indeed, the management accounting Literature is replete with studies examining effects of the degree of fit between the situation of PEU and the design of characteristics of MAIS, which depend upon the degree of decentralization.

A number of researchers have discovered that the application of MAIS in manufacturing firms was limited in a narrow scope in the sense that the system has been expected to provide information, which is generally financial, dealing with matters internal to the organization, and ex post or historical. Furthermore, MAIS was implemented in a process of identification, measurement, accumulation, analysis, preparation, interpretation, and communication information to the executives in achieving organization objectives. While the larger scope implementation of information resulted to providing managers for planning, controlling, and decision, which covered in the organization planning system and management control- 1mg system. Not only historical and financial data to be required but Information resulted of MAIS, however, has to be more toward future orientation.

Since 1970s, perceived environmental uncertainty has received attention as a promising explanatory variable in behavioral accounting research. Specifically, accounting researcher have examined the relationship between perceived environmental uncertainty and host of variables including: organizational structure (Gordon and Narayanan, 1984; Chenhall and Morris, 1986; Chia, 1995); management accounting systems design (Chenhall and Morris, 1986; Chia, 1995; Fisher, 1996; Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000), job satisfaction, employee motivation, and performance (Anderson and Kida, 1985; Rebele and Michaels, 1990), and unit business performance (Govindarajan, 1984). Since the business environment has been always in uncertainties, therefore Ferris (1982) argued that the attention of researchers on the effect of perceived environmental uncertainty in some models investigated and the theories pertaining with organization and accounting, at present, is an important field of research to investigate.

Management accounting information system is one of organizational control mechanism, which facilitates control by reporting and creating visibility in the action and performance (Chia, 1995). Implementation of management accounting information systems on manufacturing firms, according to Abernethy and Guthrie (1994) has been affected by perceived environmental uncertainty. MAIS information is highly required in decentralized rather than centralized organizations. As decision-makers attempt to cope with uncertainty, they collect more information but this will lead to increase in information processing capability within the structure. Decentralized structures generally tend to have higher information processing capability. In more certain environment would be lower, and hence organizations will rely more on established rules and procedures and would require less sophisticated MAIS. If these arguments hold, then the need for MAIS should be related to decentralized organizational structures. This study attempts to improve our understanding on perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU), which influence managers' usage of management accounting information system, thereby moderated by decentralization. Interaction between PEU and decentralization on the characteristics of MAIS resulting effective information provided managers to make decision and controlling. The agenda of this study, however, is to investigate implication of

management accounting Information systems (MAIS) design on environmental uncertainty of firms moderated by decentralization. MAIS design was defined, in this study, as a perception of users for the application of three—information characteristics management accounting system namely broad scope, timeliness, and aggregation. The framework of the study is as shown on figure 1.

Figure 1: Research Framework

The term of moderating variable in this study is in the sense that the variable can affect the relationship between perceived environmental uncertainty and management accounting systems. In the condition of high level perceived environmental uncertainty, decision makers require broad scope and timeliness information, particularly information pertaining to future or events that potentially occurred in future in terms of the activities of business organization. However, the information will more useful if authorities delegated broadly to lower level of management in making decision. Furthermore, information provided to managers should have characteristics as accurate, sources arid focused, quantified, high frequencies of usage, future orientation, relevance, complete, more aggregated and timeliness (Anthony, 1985; Dermer, 1973; Senn, 1982).

CONCEPT AND DEFINITION

Environmental uncertainty, according to Gordon and Narayanan (1976) has been identified as an important contextual variable in accounting information system and management information system design. Duncan (1972) defines the environment as the totality of physical and social factors that are taken directly into consideration in the decision-making behavior of individual in the organization. Furthermore, Duncan identified that perceived environmental uncertainty is defined as; (1) the lack of information regarding the environmental factors associated with a given decision-making situation; (2) not knowing the outcome of a specific decision in terms of how much the organization would lose if the decision were incorrect; and (3) inability to assign probabilities with any degree of confidence with regard to how environmental factors are going to affect the success or failure of the decision unit in performing its function

A literature review indicates that the amount of MAIS information that managers use for decision making is a function of their PEU. Mia (1993) had found that the greater a manager's PEU in a particular situation, the greater is the amount of MAIS information that the manager uses to deal with the situation. Dill (1958), Thompson (1967), Lawrence and Lorsch (1967), and Waterhouse and Tiessen (1978) suggested that environment uncertainty represented a key variable affecting the structure of organizations. Gordon and Narayanan (1984) and Chenhall and Morris (1986) showed that not only does environment affect structure, but also information requirements. Particular finding of Chenhall and Morris (1986) identified a positive relationship between environmental uncertainty and perceived usefulness of information that has wider (broad) scope and is timely. This research will propose that PEU will influence the perceived usefulness of aggregated information.

Broad scope of an information system refers to the dimensions of focus, quantification, and time horizon (Gory and Scott Morton, 1971; Larcker, 1981, Gordon and Narayanan, 1984). A traditional MAIS provides information, which focuses on events within the organization, is quantified in monetary terms, and relates to historical data. The scope of information can be defined, as information that is related to the external environment, is non-financial and future

oriented. This study will propose that under condition of high PEIJ, decentralization is needed to be implemented in an organization and the consequence of the situation the availability of MAS broad scope should be used. Therefore, the greater the degree of PEU, the greater the degree decentralization in an organization, the greater the need for a more broad scope of MAS information. Decentralization, which refers to the level of autonomy delegated to the managers, and MAIS design constitutes a significant part of the control package in an organization. PEU will be interacted with decentralization on availability of broad scope MAIS. Since managers faced with high PEU condition will require sophisticated MAIS which is moderated by decentralization, the study proposes the following hypothesis:

H1: Decentralisation significantly moderates the relationship between perceived environmental uncertainty and the usefulness of broad scope of MAS information.

The second characteristic of MAS information is timeliness. This kind of characteristic of information might be defined as a manager's ability to respond quickly to events is likely to be occurred regarding to provision of information on request and the frequency of reporting systematically collected information (Chenhall and Morris, 1986). Timely information enhances the facility of MAS to report upon the most recent events and to provide rapid feedback on decisions. In uncertain situations, managers are likely to find that they need to respond rapidly to unpredictable change and, consequently, they would find timely information particularly useful. Therefore, in the situation of high uncertainty, then structure decentralization complemented with broad scope information (Gui and Chia, 1994), This study, therefore, examines the interaction between PEU and decentralization on the useful of timeliness MAS information. Then, the study proposes hypothesis is as follows:

H2: Decentralization significantly moderates the relationship between perceived environmental uncertainty and the timeliness of MAS information.

The third characteristic of MAS information is aggregation. MAS may provide information in various forms of aggregation ranging from provision of basic raw, unprocessed data to a variety of aggregations around periods or areas of interest such as responsibility centre, functional areas or division unit. The type of aggregation information is referring to summation of in formats consistent with formal decision models such as discounted cash flow analysis, linear programming in budgetary applications, cost-volume profit analysis, and inventory control models. This study proposes that the relationship between PEU and characteristic aggregation MAS information will be affected by decentralization. It is mean that decentralized managers are required in high degree of environmental uncertainty, then, the consequence is aggregated information should be used to provide formal decision models. Many decision models have been designed to assist management of uncertainty especially in various management accounting books that provide numerous examples of formal models to assist planning and statistical planning. Thus far, the argumentation, which stresses on a fit between decentralization and high degree of PEU, will be required aggregated of MAS information to utilize greater application of forecast and decision models. Therefore, this study will propose the hypothesis:

H3: Decentralization significantly moderates time relationship between perceived environmental uncertainty and the aggregation of MAIS

RESEARCH

Data Collection and Sampling

A questionnaire survey was used to collect the data and information on relevant aspects of usefulness the characteristic information management accounting systems in Indonesian manufacturing firms and the effect of perceived environmental uncertainty. Strategic business unit managers were approached to participated in the study, as they were the most appropriate personnel experience, and were in charged to the strategic business unit of their organization. Four hundred fifty questionnaires, together with a covering letter and self-addressed prepaid envelope, were distributed to managers in companies randomly selected from Indonesia; Jakarta, Tangerang, Bogor, and Bekasi. Recognizing the sensitive nature of some of the information requested, the covering letter provided a statement ensuring the respondents of anonymity. 125 questionnaires were returned, of which 13 questionnaires were not usable and 159 questionnaires were use in the final analysis. The strategic business unit organizations, at the level of chiefs executive officers as respondents, have to full fill the criteria for inclusion in the sample were as follows: (1) the company must has at least 200 employees in the organizations: (2) the sales of the company must exceed fifty billions rupiah in a year: and (3) the capital must exceed ten billions rupiah.

Variables Measurement

Perceived environmental uncertainty

Perceived environmental uncertainty is regarding with the ability to predict the condition the environment of organization. It was measured using an eight-item, seven- point Likert-type scale instrument developed by Gui (1991) The eight-item were designed to measure the respondent's perceptions about the predictability and stability in various aspects if their organization's competitors' actions, manufacturing technology, product attributes/ design, market demand, raw material availability, raw material price, government regulation and labour union action.

Management accounting system

Management accounting system is conceptualized as a formal system, which is designed to provide managers with information. Each of the three-characteristic of management accounting system information was measured using a self-scoring instrument which involved rating the extent to which a series of information items would be useful to them in carrying out the overall task of the organization (Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000). The seven-point scale ranged from "not at all useful" to "most useful". A set of questions was developed for each of three information dimensions taken from several researches, which have been conducted by previous management accounting researchers.

Decentralization

Decentralization was measured by using the instrument developed by Gul and Chia (1994) and Chow *et al.* (1999). The Effect of Perceived Environmental Uncertainty on Management Accounting Information's Systems in Indonesian Manufacturing Companies measure contains nine questions regarding the extent to which authority is delegated to the chief executive officers for nine classes of decisions namely, development of new product, firing and hiring of personnel, purchase of capital equipment, selection of large investment, pricing decision, sourcing of input, operating procedures and schedules, distribution of product, and trade off within unit.

Reliability and Validity

To examine reliability and validity, the researcher used Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSSPC) package. Reliability of the scale was estimated using Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency of the items. Construct validity for measures was assessed by factor analysis (using varimax rotation). Factor analysis yielded one factor each for perceived environmental uncertainty, management accounting information systems, and decentralization with Eigenvalues greater than one. Single scales were constructed by averaging a respondent's scores over the question e pertaining to PEIJ, decentralization, and each of characteristic of management accounting information system. On the Table 1 the result of measurement of the various variables able for regression analysis, which are subject to factor analysis to confirm their theoretical groupings (construct validity) (Kerlinger, 1964; and Chenhall and Morris, 1986). Varimax rotation is applied to arrive at the final selection.

Table 1: Summary of Result of Factors Analysis and Cronbach Alfa Coefficients for PEU, Decentralization and MAIS Characteristics

Variables	Cronbach Alpha	Kaiser MSA	Factor Loading
Perceived Environmental Uncertainty	0.90	0.852	0.492 - 0.870
Decentralization	0.89	0.906	-0.929 - 0.901
Broad Scope of MAIS	0.79	0.719	0.431 - 0.837
Timeliness of MAIS	0.74	0.686	0.699 - 0.807
Aggregation of MAIS	0.86	0.831	0.537 - 0.888

The Kaiser's MSA values of the variables are all above acceptable level of 0.50 required for the test of appropriateness of the respective set of data for factor analysis (Keiser and Rice, 1974; Chia, 1995) and indicate the construct validity of respective variables. The Cronhach alpha coefficients for the internal reliability of various variables are all at an acceptable level of above 0.60 (Nunnally, 1978).

The examination techniques for hypothesis

The examination of hypothesis could he done after collecting the data. Regression model that would be used to examine the hypothesis, the approach which was adopted by Govindarajan and Gupta (1985); and Chia (1995) the results are mathematically reflected as in the equation (1) and (2):

$$Y_1 = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + e \quad (1)$$

$$Y_2 = a + b_2 X_2 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + e \quad (2)$$

Y_1 = Management accounting information systems; broad scope (I = 1), timeliness, (I = 2), aggregation (I = 3).

a = Constant

b_1, b_2, b_3 = Regression coefficient

X_1 = Perceived environmental uncertainty

X_2 = Decentralization

$X_1 X_2$ = Interaction between X_1 and X_2

e = Error term

The inclusion of product term in a multiple regression is an acceptable way of testing for interaction (Schoonhoven, 1981). For this study, the interaction approach aims at explaining the variation in management accounting systems from the interaction of the two independent variables in the empirical model. The focus is on the significance and nature of the impact of interaction between the independent variables on the dependent variable, in the equation (2), on the coefficient index of b_3 . If b_3 is significant, then interaction between PEU and decentralization would affect the characteristics of MAIS. Otherwise, if b_3 is not significant, then interaction between the variables would not affect the characteristics of MAIS. Therefore, if b_3 is significant and positive (i.e. $b_3 >$

0) the corresponding incremental R^2 will so be statistically significant at the same probability level. This mean that the introduction of the term $X_1 X_2$ in equation (2) adds significantly to the variance

explained.

According to Schoonhoven (1981), testing for the existence of contingency versus universalistic interaction effect, of the two independent variables (X_1 and X_2) on the dependent variable (Y), can be performed by examining the partial derivative from the larger regression equation, that is, equation (2). This will determine if a non monotonic effect or symmetrical effect is present. The partial derivative of equation (2) is shown as equation (3) below:

$$Y/ X_1 = b_1 + b_3 X_2 \quad (3)$$

This existence of non monotonic effect could provide information on where in the range of the contingent variable a change in the direction of slope occurs. The point of inflection for equation (3) will be; $X_2 = -b_1/b_3$

ANALYSIS AND RESULT

Descriptive Statistics of the Variables

To identify the data that acceptable in this study could be shown on Table 2, which present descriptive statistics for the various variables that have been examined. Table 2 shows that perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU) has a significant relationship with broad scope of MAS information ($r = 184$, $p < 0.01$) and with aggregation of MAIS ($r = - 1.171$, $p < 0.01$). However, PEU does not has a relationship with timeliness of MAIS.

Discussion of results

Support for hypothesis 3 is shown in Table 3. This is indicated by the statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). F-ratio of regression model for usefulness of aggregation MAS information. There is a significant interaction effect between decentralization and perceived environmental uncertainty on aggregation of MAS information as indicated by the interaction coefficient which is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) as shown in Table 3. Therefore, the result indicated that decentralization significantly interacts with PEU and positively effect the usage aggregation of MAIS. To test for the presence of non-monotonic relationship on the interaction effect between decentralization and PEU on aggregation of MAS information, partial derivative of equation 3 (for hypothesis 3).

Figure 2 showed the graph for equation 3 (for hypothesis 3). In the graph, vertical axis represents the relationship between the degree of the perceived environmental uncertainty and aggregated information of management accounting system. The horizontal axis indicates the degree of decentralization, The plotted line of the graph represents the change in aggregated information of MAIS, given a change in the degree of PEU over the degree decentralisation.

The equation 3 is positive (negative) when X_1 has a value above (below) the point of inflection. This means that PEU con tributes positively to aggregated in formation of MAS in the range of the X_2 values above the point of inflection, since the Slope for equation is positive. However, below that inflection point of the PEU decreases aggregated information of MAS as can be seen from negative slope.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistic and Matrix Correlation (n = 159)

N	o	Mea n	Std. Dev.	Theoret- ical	
					4
					3

1	Broad Scope Inf.	5.96	0.386	1 – 7	4.83 – 7				
2	Timeliness Inf.	6.06	0.621	1 – 7	3 – 7	0.244**			
3	Aggregated Inf.	5.79	0.811	1 – 7	2.50 – 7	0.202*	0.457*		
4	PEU	2.59	0.735	1 – 7	1.46 – 5.50	0.184*	-0.075	-0.171*	
5	Decentraliza-	0.04	-0.079						

Figure 2: The effect of Decentralization (X2) on the relationship between Perceived Environment Uncertainty and Aggregated info nation of MAS

Table 3: Interaction between Perceived Environmental Uncertainty and Decentralization on Management Accounting System.

Variable	Coeff	Value	Std.Dev.	t-stat	P
Broadscope					
Equation(1 _a): $Y=a+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+e$					
X ₁ (PEU)	b ₁	0.089	0.039	2.268	n.s
X ₂ (Decentralization)	b ₂	0.086	0.020	4.408	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.141$; $adj.R^2 = 0.130$; $n = 159$; $F(2, 159)=4.61$; $p<0.001$					
Variable	Coeff	Value	Std.Dev.	t-stat	P
Hypothesis 1: Equation(2 _a): $Y_1=a+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+b_3X_1.X_2+e$					
X ₁ (PEU)	b ₁	1.151	0.152	0.993	n.s
X ₂ (Decentralization)	b ₂	0.118	0.078	1.518	n.s
X ₁ .X ₂ (Interaction)	b ₃	-0.013	0.031	-0.423	n.s
$R^2 = 0.142$; $adj.R^2 = 0.125$; $n = 159$; $F(2, 155)=6.63$; $p<0.001$					
Variable	Coeff	Value	Std.Dev.	t-stat	P
Timeliness					
Equation(1 _a): $Y=a+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+e$					
X ₁ (PEU)	b ₁	-0.069	0.067	-1.027	n.s
X ₂ (Decentralization)	b ₂	0.056	0.033	1.680	n.s
$R^2 = 0.023$; $adj.R^2 = 0.001$; $n = 159$; $F(2, 156)=4.61$; $p>0.010$					n.s
Hypothesis 2 : Equation(2 _b): $Y_2=a+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+b_3X_1.X_2+e$					
X ₁ (PEU)	b ₁	-0.455	0.258	-1.759	n.s
X ₂ (Decentralization)	b ₂	0.141	0.132	-1.069	n.s
X ₁ .X ₂ (Interaction)	b ₃	0.082	0.052	1.545	n.s
$R^2 = 0.038$; $adj.R^2 = 0.020$; $n = 159$; $F(1, 155)=2.048$; $p<0.10$					n.s
R^2 explained by interaction term of 0.015 (R^2 change)					

Variable	Coeff	Value	Std.Dev.	t-stat	P
Aggregation					
Equation(1 _c): $Y=a+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+e$					
X ₁ (PEU)	b ₁	-0.191	0.087	-2.190	0.030
X ₂ (Decentralization)	b ₂	0.026	0.044	0.591	n.s
$R^2 = 0.031$; $adj.R^2 = 0.019$; $n = 159$; $F_{(2, 156)}=4.61$; $p>0.010$					sig.
Hypothesis 3 : Equation(2_c): $Y_3=a+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+b_3X_1.X_2+e$					
X ₁ (PEU)	b ₁	-1.187	0.328	-3.614	0.000
X ₂ (Decentralization)	b ₂	-0.41	0.168	-2.885	0.004
X ₁ .X ₂ (Interaction)	b ₃	0.208	0.066	3.140	0.002
$R^2 = 0.089$; $adj.R^2 = 0.072$; $n = 159$; $F_{(1, 155)}=3.84$; $p<0.050$					Sig.
R^2 explained by interaction term of 0.058 (R^2 change)					

The inflection points are well within the observed range of values (i.e. 1.00 to 7.00) and close to the mean value for the degree of decentralization (X₂) in the sample. Hence, it is concluded that the degree of PEU has a contingent (non-monotonic) effect on aggregated information of MAIS (Y₃) over the range of the degree of decentralization (X₂) values.

For the hypothesis 3, the equation would be:

$$Y_3 = a + b_1X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_1X_2 + c$$

$$Y_3 = 8.638 - 1.187X_1 - 0.485X_2$$

$$+ 0.208X_1X_2 + e.$$

$$\text{If } Y/X_1 = b_2 + b_3X_2, \text{ then } Y/X_1 = -1.187 X_1 + 0.208X_2.$$

$$\text{If } X_2 = 0, \text{ then } YX_1 = -1.187(\text{or } -1.19), \text{ and when } Y/X_1 = 0, \text{ then } X_2 = 1.187/0.208 = 5.71$$

CONCLUSION

The result of the study provides support for hypothesis 3. The main finding of this study is that decentralization significantly moderates the perceived environmental uncertainty to affect aggregation of MAIS. This finding also indicates that the greater the level of decentralization, the greater the effect of PEU has on aggregated information of MAIS. This study adds to the limited knowledge of management accounting research, in particular with reference to the design of MAS, in organizations operating in Indonesia. With respect to business organizations in developing countries, this study is of practical significance because the empirical results provide information about the appropriate design of control subsystems, which these organizations can adopt to enhance the use of management accounting systems in business unit strategy.

REFERENCE

Anderson, T.N. and Kida., T.E. (1985). "The Effect Environment Uncertainty on the Association of Expectancy Attitudes, Effort, and Performance". *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 125, 631-636.

- Anthony, R.N. (1985). "Testing for Interaction in Multiple Regression". *American Journal of Sociology*, 83, 144 - 153.
- Abernethy, M.A. and Guthrie, C.H. (1994). "An Empirical Assessment of The Fit Between Strategy and Management Information System Design". *Accounting and Finance*, 34, 49-66.
- Bouwens J., and Abernethy, M.A. (2000). "The Consequences of Customization on Management Accounting System Design", *Accounting, Organization, and Society*, 25, 221 -241.
- Chow, C.W., Shield, M. and Wu, A. (1999). "The Important of National Culture in the Design and Preference for Management Controls for Multinational Operations". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 24, 441 -461.
- Chia, Y.M. (1995). "Decentralization, Management Accounting System, MAS Information Characteristics and Their Interaction Effects on Managerial Performance: A Singapore Study". *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 22, 811- 830.
- Chong, V. K., and Chong, M.C. (1997). "Strategic Choices, Environmental Uncertainty and SBU performance: A Notes the Intervening Role of Management Accounting Systems". *Accounting and Business Research*, 27 (4), 268- 276.
- Chenhall, R. H. and Morris, D. (1986). "The Impact of Structure, Environment, and Interdependence on The Perceived Usefulness of Management Accounting Systems". *Accounting Review*, 61, 16 -35.
- Chia, Y.M. (1990). "Is There A Contingency Theory of Management Accounting Systems Design". *Singapore Accountant*, May, 20, 31 -32.
- Child, J. (1981). "Culture, Contingency and Capitalism in the Cross-National Study of Organization". *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 303-356.
- Cohen, J. (1977). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Science*. New York: Academic Press.
- Dermer, J. D. (1973). "Cognitive Characteristics and Perceived Importance of Information". *The Accounting Review*, July, 511 -519.
- Duncan, R.B. (1972). "Characteristic of Environments and Perceived Environmental Uncertainty". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. March, 313-327.
- Daft, R.L. and MacIntosh, N.B. "A New Approach to Design and Use of Management Information:" *California Management Review*, Fall, 82 -92.
- Fisher, C. (1996). "The Impact of Perceived Environmental Uncertainty and Individual Differences on Management Information Requirements": A Research Note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 21 (4), 361 -369.
- Feris, K. R. (1977). "Perceived Uncertainty and Job Satisfaction in The Accounting Environment". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 2, 23-28.
- _____ (1978). "Perceived Environmental Uncertainty as a Mediator of Expectancy Theory Predictions: Some Preliminary Findings". *Decision Science*, 9, 379-390.
- _____ (1982). "Perceived Environmental Uncertainty, Organizational Adaptation, and Employee

- Performance: A Longitudinal Study in Professional Accounting Firms". *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 7, 13-26. ‘
- Govindarajan, V. (1984). "Appropriateness of Accounting Data in Performance Evaluation: An Empirical Examination of Environmental Uncertainty as an Intervening Variable". *Accounting, Organization, and Society*, 9, 125- 135.
- Gordon, L.A. and Narayanan, V. K (1984). "Management Accounting Systems, Perceived Environmental Uncertainty, and Organization Structure: Empirical Analysis". *Accounting, Organization, and Society*, Vol. 9, 33
- Gul, F.A. and Chia, Y.M. (1994). "The Effects of Management Accounting Systems, Perceived Environmental Uncertainty and Decentralization on Managerial Performance: A Test of Three-Way Interaction". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 19 (4/5), 413-426.
- Gul, F.A. (1991). "The Effects of Management Accounting Systems and Environmental Uncertainty on Small Business Managers' Performance". *Accounting and Business Research*, 22 (85), 57-61.
- Gerloff, L.A. (1985), *Organizational Theory and Design- Strategic Approach for Management*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gordon, L.A. and Miller, D. (1976), "A Contingency Framework for the Design of Accounting Information Systems". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 56-59.
- Govindarajan, V. and Gupta, A. K. (1985). "Linking Control Systems to Business Strategy: Impact on Performance". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 51 - 66
- Gul, F.A., Tsui, J.S.L.; Fong, S.C.C. and Kwok, H.Y.L. (1995). "Decentralization as a Moderating Factor in the Budgetary Participation-Performance Relationship: Some Hongkong Evidence". *Accounting and Business Research*, 25 (98), 107- 113.
- Kren, L. and Kerr. (1993). "The effect of Behavior Monitoring and Uncertainty on the Use of Performance Contingent Compensation". *Accounting and Business Research*, 23, 159-168.
- Khandwalla, P. (1972). "The Effect of Different Types of Competition on the Use of Management Controls". *Journal of Accounting Research*, 10, 275-285.
- Keiser, H.F. and Rice, J. (1974). "Little Jiffy, Mark 1V". *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 111- 127.
- Mia, L. (1993). "The Role of MAS Information in Organizations: An Empirical Study". *British Accounting Review* 2.
- McKinon, S.M., and Bruns, W.J. Jr. (1992). *The Information Mosaic*, Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Mia, L., and Goyal, (1991). "Span of Control, task Interdependence and Usefulness of MAS Information in Not- For Profit Government Organizations". *Financial Accountability and Management*, 7, 249 - 266.
- Mia, L. and Clarke, B. (1999). "Market Competition, Management Accounting Systems and Business Unit Performance", *Management Accounting Research*, 10, 137- 158.

- Merchant, K.A. (1985). "Organizational Control and Discretionary Program Decision Making: A Field Study". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 10 (1), 67-85.
- Murray, D. (1990). "The Performance Effect of Participative Budgeting: An integration of Intervening and Moderating Variables". *Behavioural Research in Accounting*, 2, 104-123.
- Nunnally, Jum C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*, 2nd ed., New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Otley, D. T. (1980). "The Contingency Theory of Management Accounting: Achievement and Prognosis". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 5 (4), 413-428.
- Perrow, C.A. (1967). "Framework for the Comparative Analysis of Organizations". *A Men Can Sociological Review*, 794-208.
- Rebele, J.E. and Michaels, R.E. (1990). "Independent Auditors' Role Stress: Antecedent, Outcome, and Moderating Variables". *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 2, 124 - 153.
- Rockness, H. O. and Shields, M.D. (1984), "Organizational Control Systems in Research and Development". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, (2), 165-177.
- Sawyer, A.G. and Ball, A. D. (1981). "Statistical Power and Effect Size in marketing research". *Journal of Marketing Research*, 275-290.
- Szilagyi, A.D. Jr. (1988). *Management and Performance*. 3 edition, SEB: Ill.
- Simons, R. (1987). "Accounting Control Systems and Business Strategy". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 12 (4), 357-374.
- Senn, J.A. (1982). *Information Systems in Management*. Second edition, California: Wadsworth.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). *Research Methods for Business*. 3rd Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Selto, F. H., Renner C., and Young, M.S. (1995). "Assessing the Organizational Fit of Just-In-Time Manufacturing System: Testing Selection, Interaction and Systems Models of Contingency Theory". *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*, 20 (7/8), 665 - 684.
- Tushman, M.L. and Nadler, D.A. (1978). "Information Processing as an Integrating Concept in Organizational Design". *Academy of Management Review*, July, 613 -624.
- Umanath, N. S., M.R. Ray, and Campbell, T.L. (1993), "The Impact of Perceived Environmental Uncertainty and Perceived Agent Effectiveness on the Compensation Contracts". *Management Science*, 39, 32-45.
- Waterhouse, J.H. and P.Tiessen. (1978). "A Contingency Framework for Management Accounting Systems Research". *Accounting, organizations, ant Society*, 3 (1), 65-67.