Synthesis of Copper Nanoparticles Using *Chromolaena odorata* (L.) Leaf Extract as A Stabilizing Agent

Suprapto Suprapto*, Cantika Alda Hafshah Handoyo, Putri Ayu Senja, Veto Barid Ramadhan, Yatim Lailun Ni’mah

Department of Chemistry, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

**email**: suprapto19@gmail.com

DOI : 10.20885/ijca.vol3.iss1.art2

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Received** : 17 February 2020  
**Revised** : 22 February 2020  
**Published** : 15 March 2020  
**Keywords**: copper, nanoparticles, *Chromolaena odorata*

**ABSTRACT**

The synthesis of copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) by chemical reduction had been carried out. In this study, the synthesis of copper nanoparticles was carried out using Sentalo leaf extract (*Chromolaena odorata* (L.)) as a stabilizing agent and sodium citrate as a reducing agent. The extraction of Sentalo leaves was done using aqua demineralization as a solvent. The extraction was carried out at 60°C and stirred for 45 minutes. The CuSO₄ concentrations (0.05 M; 0.1 M; and 0.15 M), sodium citrate concentrations (0.15 M; 0.2 M; and 0.25 M), and Sentalo extract (10%, 20%, and 30%) were optimized. The copper nanoparticles that synthesized were in the form of brownish-green powder. The nanoparticles were characterized using FTIR, XRD, PSA, and TEM. The XRD diffractogram shows peaks at $\theta = 43.15^\circ; 49.75^\circ$; and $73.91^\circ$ with a small intensity. Copper nanoparticles that synthesized have stem shapes with an average diameter of 30 nm. Optimization by using Surface Response Methodology with Box-Behnken Design shows optimal parameter for absorption at 300 nm with CuSO₄ concentrations of 0.12 M to 0.15 M, sodium citrate concentrations of 0.22 M to 0.25 M, and percent extract of 5% to 30%. Optimization parameters for absorption at 800 nm was obtained using CuSO₄ concentration of 0.05 M to 0.06 M, sodium citrate concentration of 0.15 M to 0.25 M, and the percent extract of 10% to 22.5%.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology has become fastest-growing study in the world of science and technology. It is the most capable technology that can be applied at almost all fields such as biomedical, antibacterial, catalytic, optical, and electrical [1]. Nanoparticles have distinctive properties compared to large materials. Nanoparticles have the ability to penetrate the physiological barrier and can circulate in the physiological system of living beings [2]. Nanoparticles generally have a size below 100 nm [3]. There were two approaches to obtain nanoparticles, top-down and bottomup approach. The top-down approach was carried out by reducing the size of the initially large particle. The bottom up approach was done by increasing the size of particulate up to the nanometer size [4]. One of the metal nanoparticles currently developed is copper. Copper is one of the metals that has a reasonably cheap and abundant. The formation of copper nanoparticles with a bottom-up approach was one of the methods that often used in the research. The advantage of this approach was that the nanoparticle size can be adjusted according to the desired usability. Control of such measures can be done in several ways i.e. by regulating the concentration of precursors [4].

In the synthesis of CuNPs, there are several parameters to be considered i.e. temperature, reaction time, reducing agent, type of precursors, concentration as well as its mixing, nucleation effect, growth, agglomeration, and nanoparticles distribution [5]. Copper was metal that WILL BE oxidized when contact with air. Therefore, the presence of reducing and stabilizing agent in the synthesis of CuNPs was required. There are several methods used in the synthesis of CuNPs, namely chemical methods, physical methods, biological methods and green synthesis [6]. Some research suggests that
plant extracts can be used as reducing agent and stabilizing agents on CuNPs synthesis. The use of plant extracts as a reducing agent or stabilizing agents has a purpose to reduce the use of toxic chemicals in the synthesis due to the nature of the environmentally friendly plant extracts. Plant extracts have been applied in the synthesis of copper nanoparticles i.e. Passiflora Foetida [7], Portulaca oleracea [8], and Syzgium Fondini [1]. The chemical contained in plants generally: steroids, alkaloids, saponins, terpenoids, flavonoids [9]. Biomolecules such as flavonoids and terpenoids can act as reducing agents for metal ions and stabilizing agent to minimize agglomeration of nanoparticles [10]. The C. Odorata (L.) plant extract has not been used in the research of copper nanoparticles. The chemical compounds contained in the Sentalo leaves include tannins, saponins, steroids, terpenoids, and flavonoids [11]. C. Odorata (L.) plant is a wild plant and still not utilized optimally for research [12]. In this study, the synthesis of copper nanoparticles was carried out using C. Odorata (L.) leaf extract as stabilizing agent. The C. odorata (L.) was obtained from Sumbawa, West Nusa Tenggara. The synthesis of copper nanoparticles was carried out by reacting a solution of copper (II) sulfate (CuSO₄) with a C. Odorata (L.) leaf extract.

2. METHOD

2.1. Materials and Tools

The instruments that used in this research were the laboratory glassware, analytical balance, magnetic stirrer, hot plate, spray bottle, UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Genesys 10S), FTIR (Shimadzu Instrument Spectrum One 8400s), XRD (X’Pert MPD), PSA Zetasizer (Nano ZS), and TEM (HT7700). Whatman filter paper. The materials that used in this study were copper (II) sulfate pentahydrate (CuSO₄•5H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich)) was used as a precursor salt, trisodium citrate (Na₃C₆H₅O₇ (Sigma-Aldrich)) as reducing agent and C. odorata (L.) leaves were collected from Sumbawa, NTB, Indonesia. Deionized water was used throughout this study.

2.2. Preparation of the Extract

Fresh leaves of C. odorata (L.) were rinsed thoroughly 2-3 times with deionized water to remove dust and unwanted particles. Leaves were dried in an oven at 50 °C. The small pieces of C. odorata (L.) leaves were dissolved in deionized water (10, 20, and 30 grams of small pieces of Sentalo leaves were dissolved in 100 mL deionized water). The solution was mixed with a magnetic stirrer at 60 °C for 45 minutes. The leaf broth was filtered twice through a Whatman filter and stored at 4°C for further experiments.

2.3. Optimization of The Copper Nanoparticles Synthesis

CuSO₄ (0.05; 0.1; 0.15 M) was stirred while C. odorata (L.) extract (10%, 20%, dan 30%) were added dropwise to this solution. Na₃C₆H₅O₇ (0.15; 0.2; 0.25 M) was added to this solution. The solution was allowed to settle for 24 hours in a dark room. The discoloration that occurs in the solution was observed using UV-Vis spectrophotometers.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of materials

FTIR spectra of C. odorata leaf extract and CuNPs were shown in Figure 1. Figure 1a was the spectrum of C. odorata (L.) extract that shows a peak at 3435 cm⁻¹ which was a typical absorption of OH groups stretching. The absorption peak at 1641 cm⁻¹ showed the typical of C=C groups. The absorption peak at 1027 cm⁻¹ showed the presence of C-O groups. FTIR spectra of copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) (Figure 1b) shows peaks at 3445 cm⁻¹, 2926 cm⁻¹, 1634 cm⁻¹, 1393 cm⁻¹, and 1074 cm⁻¹ where each indicates the presence of OH, C-H alkane, C=C, CH, and C-O groups. The peak at 1393 cm⁻¹, indicating the existence of C=C stretching, indicating the occurrence of coordination of copper nanoparticles [13].
Figure 1. FTIR spectra of (A) C. odorata leaf extract and (B) CuNPs

The CuNPs that synthesized was measured using UV-Vis spectrophotometers. UV-Vis spectra give useful information of nanoparticles due to peak position and the shape was sensitive to particle size [5]. In the UV-Vis spectra, the C. odorata extract solution indicates a peak at a wavelength of 300 nm. The CuSO4 solution spectrum shows peaks at 815 nm. Absorption band for CuNPs has been reported in the range of 250–450 nm [14]. In this study, the maximum peak of a synthesized solution showed maximum absorption at 305 nm.

Figure 2. UV-Vis Spectrum of copper sulphate, CuNPS, and sentalo extracted

Figure 2 shows that the spectra of C. odorata extract, copper sulfate solution, and CuNPs. The peak at 305 nm and 785 nm was increase and decrease as the solution were mixed. Synthesis of copper nanoparticles indicates that there was an interaction between the CuSO4 solution and the C. odorata leaf extract to form particulates. Particulates will dissipate UV-Vis light. It is in accordance with the Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) method in which the rays that are dissipated by the particulate were equal in size to the wavelength that scattered [15].

The chemical structure of CuNPs was characterized using X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRD). The diffractogram of CuNPs with C. odorata leaf extracts can be seen in Figure 3. CuNPs Synthesized using Azadirachta indica leaf extracts [10], shows peaks at $2\theta = 43.5^\circ$; 49.9$^\circ$; and 74.01$^\circ$. The diffraktogram in Figure 3 shows the presence of peaks almost similar to the peak of CuNPs that synthesized using Azadirachta indica leaf extract which has peaks at $2\theta = 43.15^\circ$; 49.75$^\circ$; and 73.91$^\circ$. 
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with a small intensity. The Diffactogram shows the formation of CuO. CuO formation was caused by sample preparation by heating the suspension before XRD characterization.

![Diffactogram of CuNPs](image)

**Figure 3. Diffactogram of CuNPs**

Particle Size Analyzer (PSA) was applied to determine the particle size distribution and the average particle size of CuNPs. Particle distribution of CuNPs was presented in Figure 4. The size of CuNPs that have been synthesized was distributed from 28.21 nm to 255 nm. In general, nanoparticles have a size of 1-100 nm. However, some literature indicates that nanoparticles can have a size of 1-1000 nm [6]. CuNPs that have been synthesized using L-ascorbic acid have size distribution from 17.73 nm to 165.2 nm [13].

![Histogram Size of CuNPs](image)

**Figure 4. Histogram Size of CuNPs**

The Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) characterization of CuNPs was shown in Figure 5. The synthesized CuNPs have rod-shaped and has a particle size with a length of 260 nm and a width of 30 nm. The TEM image shows a thin layer of the particle, which indicates the presence of a C. odorata extract as a capping agent. In another study, copper nanoparticle particles have a rod shape with a particle size where the length of 700 nm and a width of 100 nm [16].

![TEM Image of CuNPs](image)
Figure 5. TEM of CuNPs with 25 times Magnification with Bar Scale = 20 nm

Optimization of CuNPs with Response Surface Methodode (RSM) Box-Behnken Design

Optimization of CuNPs synthesis was carried out using Surface Response Methodology with Box-Behnken Design. CuSO$_4$ concentration, Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$ concentration, and percent extract was optimized. The input variables of optimized parameters were shown in Table 1.

TABLE I. Input Variables of RSM Optimization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variations</th>
<th>[CuSO$_4$]</th>
<th>[Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$]</th>
<th>%Ekstrak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-1,-1,0)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,-1,0)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-1,1,0)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,1,0)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-1,0,-1)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,0,-1)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-1,0,1)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,0,1)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0,-1,-1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0,1,-1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0,0,1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0,1,1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0,0,0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0,0,0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistical analysis of data that obtained was shown in Table 2 for absorption at 300 nm. It was shown that $X_0$ and $X_1$ have bigger error than $X_2$. This indicates that the %extract has bigger contribution to the absorption at 300 nm than CuSO4 and sodium citrate concentration.

TABLE II. Coefficient Parameter at Peaks of 300 nm of the Response Surface Model.

| Interep | Coef   | Std error | t      | P>|t| | 0,025 | 0,975 |
|---------|--------|-----------|--------|------|-------|-------|
| 1       | -1,5951| 1,493     | -1,068 | 0,334| -5,433| 2,242 |
| $X_0$   | 5,0167 | 8,550     | 0,587  | 0,583| -16,962| 26,995|
| $X_1$   | 22,2558| 12,264    | 1,815  | 0,129| -9,269| 53,780|
| $X_2$   | 0,0615 | 0,043     | 1,438  | 0,210| -0,048| 0,171 |
| $X_0^2$ | -11,9833| 28,936   | -0,414 | 0,696| -86,367| 62,400|
Second order equation with correlation coefficient of 0.955 was obtained and described in Equation (1).

\[
Y = (-1,5951) + 5,0167x_0 + 22,2558x_1 + 0,0615x_2 + (-11,9833x_0^2) + (-10,0000x_0x_1) + 0,1000x_0x_2 + (-48,2833x_1^2) + 0,1505x_1x_2 + (-0,0019x_2^2) \ldots \ldots \ldots (1)
\]

The scatter plot of absorbance experimental data compared to the model that obtained was presented in Figure 6 (a). Figure 7 (b) indicates that the residual plot obtained was spread at unstructured and random points. That presented sufficiency models and no need for transformation to stabilize conditions [18].

Figure 6. (a) Absorbance Predictions at The Peak 300 nm, (b) Residual Plot

The model for absorption peak at 800 nm was presented in Table 3. Based on data obtained in Table 3, second order equation (2) was obtained, Equation (2). The experimental absorbance data on this study on the results of absorbance predictions were presented in Figure 7 (a). The correlation coefficient of 0.990 was obtained. Figure 7 (b) shows that the residual plot obtained was spread at unstructured and random points. That indicates sufficiency models and no need for transformation to stabilize conditions [18].

### TABLE III. Coefficient Parameter at Peaks of 800 nm of the Response Surface Model

| Parameter | Coef. | Std. error | t   | P>|t| | 0.025 | 0.975 |
|-----------|-------|------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| Intercept | -0,2093 | 0,175      | -1,196 | 0,285 | -0,659 | 0,240 |
| X_0       | 3,2325  | 1,002      | 3,226 | 0,023 | 0,657  | 5,808 |
| X_1       | 2,4125  | 1,437      | 1,679 | 0,154 | -1,282 | 6,107 |
| X_2       | -0,0035 | 0,005      | -0,704 | 0,513 | -0,016 | 0,009 |
| X_0^2     | -3,5500 | 3,391      | -1,047 | 0,343 | -12,267 | 5,167 |
| X_0 X_1   | -1,3000 | 3,258      | -0,399 | 0,706 | -9,675 | 7,075 |
| X_0 X_2   | 0,0110  | 0,016      | 0,675 | 0,529 | -0,031 | 0,053 |
| X_1^2     | -4,9500 | 3,391      | -1,460 | 0,204 | -13,667 | 3,767 |
| X_1 X_2   | -0,0030 | 0,016      | -0,184 | 0,861 | -0,045 | 0,039 |
| X_2^2     | 0,0001  | 8,48 x 10^{-5} | 1,224 | 0,276 | -0,000 | 0,000 |
Second order equation with correlation coefficient of 0.955 was obtained and described in Equation (2).

\[ Y = 0.2093 + 3.2325x_0 + 2.4125x_1 + (-0.0035x_2) + (-3.55x_0^2) + (-1.3x_0x_1) + 0.011x_0x_2 + (-4.95x_1^2) + (-0.003x_1x_2) + 0.0001x_2^2 \] .................................(2)

Figure 7. (a) Absorbance Predictions at The Peak 300 nm, (b) Residual Plot

The RSM shows the effect of variables variation and its interaction. The three-dimensional surface response for absorption peaks at 300 nm was presented in Figure 8 (a), (b), and (c). The determination of optimum conditions was shown in red contours because at the peak of 300 nm there was an increase in absorbance. The interaction of the CuSO\(_4\) concentration and Na\(_3\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\) concentration on the copper nanoparticles that synthesized was presented in Figure 8 (a). The optimum response was observed at CuSO\(_4\) concentrations above 0.11 M and Na\(_3\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\) concentrations above 0.21 M. Figure 8 (b) presented the interaction of the CuSO\(_4\) concentration and the percent of C. odorata extracts. The optimum conditions was achieved at concentrations of CuSO\(_4\) above 0.12 M and percent of C. odorata extract above 22.5%. Figure 8 (c) presented the interaction of Na\(_3\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\) concentration and percent of C. odorata extracts. The optimum condition was achieved at Na\(_3\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\) concentration above 0.23 M and percent of C. odorata extract above 22.5%.

Figure 8. Response surface of (a) CuSO\(_4\) and sodium citrate concentration, (b) CuSO\(_4\) concentration and percent extract, and (c) sodium citrate concentration and percent extract.

4. CONCLUSION

C. odorata leaf extract has been successfully applied as a stabilizing agent for synthesis of copper nanoparticles. The synthesized copper nanoparticles have rod shapes with an average diameter of 30 nm. Optimization result using Response Surface Methodology Box-Behnken Design obtain that the optimum response was obtained using CuSO\(_4\) concentration of 0.12 to 0.15 M, sodium citrate (Na\(_3\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\)) concentrations of 0.22 M to 0.25 M, and percent extract 22.5% to 30%, for absorption
at 300 nm. The optimum response for absorption at 800 nm was achieved using CuSO4 of 0.05 M to 0.06 M, sodium citrate (Na3C6H5O7) concentrations of 0.15 M to 0.25 M, and percent extract from 10% to 22.5%.
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