The fundamental principles of Islamic law in the digital era: An ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia approach

Safaruddin Harefa

Department of Criminal Law, Law Study Program, Faculty of Law, Universitas Tanjungpura, Pontianak, Indonesia

Article Info

Abstract

Purpose – This study aims to explore and formulate the fundamental principles of Islamic law in addressing contemporary digital transformation by integrating the methodologies of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia. It responds to the need for a dynamic yet normatively grounded Islamic legal framework capable of regulating emerging issues, such as digital contracts, e-money, artificial intelligence, and virtual marriage.

Methodology – This study employed a qualitative normative legal method using doctrinal analysis. The data were drawn from classical jurisprudence, contemporary fatwas, academic journals, and case studies on digital law. Sources were purposively selected for their relevance to Islamic legal responses to digital issues. The analysis used conceptual mapping and thematic interpretation guided by ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia principles.

Findings – The findings indicate that ushul fiqh tools, such as qiyas, istihsan, and maslahah mursalah, offer structured adaptability for addressing digital innovation within a Sharia-compliant framework. In parallel, maqashid Sharia strengthens the ethical dimension and enhances societal relevance, particularly by preserving values such as justice, intellect, and wealth. The combined application of both approaches enables the reconstruction of legal reasoning that is faithful to tradition and responsive to modern needs.

Implications – This study contributes to the development of contemporary Islamic jurisprudence by proposing an integrative model that bridges classical norms and digital governance. It provides a basis for producing ethical fatwas, Sharia-compliant digital policies, and inclusive legal innovation.

Originality – This research uniquely integrates ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia to reconstruct Islamic legal reasoning in the digital era, addressing a critical gap between tradition and technological transformation.

Cite this article:
Harefa, S. (2025). The fundamental principles of Islamic law in the digital era: The ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia approach. *International Journal of Halal Industry*, *1*(1), 84-99. https://doi.org/10.20885/JILDEB.vol1.iss1.art6.

Introduction

The digital era has brought significant transformations to various aspects of human life, including the social, economic, and legal domains. Digitalization accelerates shifts in interaction and transactional patterns, from physical to virtual and from localized to transnational (Meyer et al., 2023). In this context, Islamic law remains relevant and adaptive to the demands of times without abandoning its normative foundations and essential values. Emerging issues such as electronic money, digital contracts, artificial intelligence, virtual marriage, and blockchain transactions have intensified the need for legal formulations that respond comprehensively to new realities while remaining grounded in Sharia (Musarrofa et al., 2024).

Ushul Fiqh, as the classical methodology of legal derivation, provides a systematic framework for scholars to interpret Sharia texts in addressing contemporary issues. Qawaid ushuliyyah (fundamental legal principles) functions not only as a theoretical tool but also as a practical instrument for navigating legal texts within a dynamic social context (Mudawam, 2021). In situations where scriptural texts (nass) do not explicitly regulate emerging phenomena, such as smart contracts, these legal principles serve as a methodological foundation for producing responsible and measurable legal reasoning (Khusnan, 2020; Khallaf, 2019). This ensures that Islamic legal products do not stagnate in textualism but evolve toward broader normative objectives.

Maqashid Sharia, as the overarching objective of Islamic law, further strengthens these normative efforts by offering a value-based orientation that is both universal and applicable. The preservation of religion (ḥifẓ al-dīn), life (ḥifẓ al-nafs), intellect (ḥifẓ al-ʿaql), wealth (ḥifẓ al-māl), and lineage (ḥifẓ al-nasl) constitute essential principles that remain highly relevant for evaluating the validity and legitimacy of emerging digital policies and instruments (Auda, 2013; Fajar, et al., 2025). The maqashid approach enables Islamic law to actively engage with change, meet societal needs, and uphold the ethical-spiritual mission of Sharia in the age of digital transformation.

In light of digital acceleration, phenomena such as virtual marriages, e-wallets, electronic contracts, and online transactions have become social realities. Studies indicate that over 70% of retail transactions within Islamic financial systems have shifted to digital channels over the past five years. This growth affects not only the realm of muamalah (civil transactions) but also aspects of worship and family law. One notable example is the rise of online marriage practices, which have sparked debates regarding the validity of virtual ijab and qabul (marital consent) (Munifatussaidah, et al., 2024). Such developments underscore the vital role of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia in constructing a legal framework that is responsive to modern developments and is rooted in divine values.

Contemporary fatalities indicate that authoritative Islamic legal institutions proactively respond to digital innovation. Several of these institutions have issued rulings that permit the use of electronic money, digital contracts, and even online marriages, subject to specific maqashid- and ushul-based requirements. This affirms that Islamic law possesses significant epistemic flexibility and reconstructive potential (Karimullah, et al., 2024). Nevertheless, a gap remains between theoretical formulations and practical implementation, as many fatwas have yet to comprehensively address ethical concerns, data protection, and misuse risks inherent in digital technology.

This study seeks to answer the research question: How can ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia be integrated to formulate fundamental principles of Islamic law that are responsive to digital transformation while maintaining their normative foundations? This study aims to construct a methodological and normative framework that ensures that Islamic legal thought remains relevant, adaptive, and ethically grounded in the digital era.

Given this complexity, the present study aims to formulate the fundamental principles of Islamic law for the digital age by integrating the methodologies of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia. The overarching goal is to reinforce Islamic legal epistemology in the face of digital transformation, emphasizing harmony between text and context, authority and justice, scriptural sources, and public interest. This study explores how these two approaches can be employed to address the legal challenges emerging from technological disruption and how their integration can serve as a foundation for inclusive, dynamic, and ethically grounded Islamic legal policies.

This study begins with a conceptual exploration of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia, followed by selected case studies concerning digital legal practices. It proceeds with a synthetic analysis of how the two approaches can be integrated to formulate fundamental Islamic legal principles that are both contextual and beneficial. The expected outcome of this study is to contribute to the scholarly development of contemporary Islamic law and serve as a reference for religious authorities, academics, and Sharia practitioners in crafting legal responses rooted in Islamic tradition, while also responding to the demands of modernity.

Literature Review

The development of Islamic law in the digital age has attracted increasing scholarly attention in recent years. Researchers have increasingly explored how classical Islamic legal methodologies,

particularly ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia, may be adapted to contemporary realities shaped by digital technologies. This literature review critically examines a broad spectrum of academic works, both in English and non-English sources, that reveal the intersection of Islamic legal theory, technological disruption, and socio-digital transformation. The review is organized around five thematic areas: (1) the theoretical foundations of ushul fiqh, (2) the development of maqashid Sharia thought, (3) the application of Islamic law to digital financial systems, (4) virtual contracts and online marriage jurisprudence, and (5) digital ethics in Islamic legal discourse.

Theoretical foundations of ushul fiqh
The classical ushul fiqh, as a methodological framework, has long been used to extract legal rulings from scriptural sources. Prominent scholars, such as Asy-Syafi'i (1990) in Al-Risalah, laid the groundwork for analogical reasoning (*qiyas*), consensus (*ijma*), and textual analysis of the Qur'an and Sunnah. Wahbah al-Zuhaili's Usul al-Fiqh al-Islami (1986) provides a thorough exposition of these principles, particularly how they maintain relevance for interpreting new issues in different temporal contexts (Anshori & Abdurrahman, 2025). In more recent works, scholars, such as Kamali (2003) have argued for the continual revitalization of ushul fiqh to address social transformation and epistemological change. Ushul Fiqh is not static; rather, it is a dynamic science that can accommodate temporal realities through mechanisms such as *istihsan* (juristic preference) and *maslahah* (public interest) (Faizia & Alib, 2024).

The development of maqashid Sharia thought
Maqashid Sharia has evolved from a supportive to a central role in modern Islamic legal theories. Pioneered by Al-Ghazali and systematized by Al-Shatibi in Al-Muwafaqat, the doctrine of maqashid (the objectives of Sharia) has gained renewed importance in confronting contemporary challenges. Jasser Auda's Maqasid al-Sharia as Philosophy of Islamic Law (2008) introduced a system-thinking approach that views maqashid as a dynamic and scalable framework for legal reasoning in the age of complexity (Yusdani & Arfaizar, 2023). Scholars such as al-Qaradawi (2006) also emphasized the prioritization of maqashid in social policy, economic justice, and governance. Auda (2013) further developed the multidimensionality of maqashid, including values such as human dignity, freedom, and technological justice. These are concepts not directly addressed in classical fiqh literature, but they're necessary in today's digital world (Akib et al., 2022).

Islamic law and digital financial systems
Islamic jurisprudence on digital financial instruments, such as e-wallets, cryptocurrencies, and online marketplaces, has been a growing field of inquiry. Dewaya (2025) examined how fiqh and maqashid frameworks can legitimize or prohibit emerging financial tools. For example, the permissibility of e-money has been explored using the maqashid principle of ḥifẓ al-māl (protection of wealth), provided that transactions avoid the elements of gharar (ambiguity) and riba (usury). Contemporary fatwas, such as DSN-MUI No. 116/2017 on Sharia-compliant electronic money, demonstrate the applied legal reasoning rooted in these principles. Global literature, including the works of El-Gamal (2006) and Dusuki and Abdullah (2007), situates Islamic finance in the broader context of digital economies, calling for ethical integration with Sharia-compliant structures (Muhsin et al., 2022).

Virtual contracts and online marriage jurisprudence
Legal debates concerning the digital ijab qabul (offer and acceptance) and virtual contract validity have intensified, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. Naila et al. (2024) analyzed the permissibility of digital marriage (nikah online) from the lens of Maqashid Sharia, focusing on the objectives of family preservation and public health. Their findings align with global fatwa trends permitting digital marriage under necessity (darurah), provided that all pillars of marriage (arkan) are fulfilled, including witnesses and cnsent. Similarly, Syaripuddin, et al., (2025) argue that digital contracts must be subjected to rigorous scrutiny under ushul fiqh to maintain their legal integrity. The challenges lie in ensuring the authenticity of intention, agency representation, and time-bound

accountability—elements that are easier to assess in traditional settings, but complex in virtual contexts (Sujono et al., 2022).

Digital ethics in Islamic legal discourse
Another essential strand of the literature explores how Islamic law addresses digital ethics, including privacy, data protection, algorithmic bias, and digital surveillance. In his systems-oriented approach, Auda (2008) included privacy and technological autonomy within the broader scope of maqashid, particularly *ḥifẓ al-ʿaql* and *ḥifẓ al-nafs*. Scholars such as Abou El Fadl (2001) push for a morally enriched fiqh that engages digital tools with ethical consciousness (Raquib et al., 2022). Recent literature also addresses the governance of AI technologies, with authors advocating for Sharia-compliant ethical protocols that strike a balance between innovation and accountability. These discussions reflect a shift from classical jurisprudence to critical ethics, which is necessary in an era in which non-human algorithms increasingly influence decisions (Arminsyah, 2025).

Synthesis of global and local perspectives
While many foundational texts originate from the Islamic world, global English-language scholarship has enriched the discourse by framing these debates within contemporary legal theory, ethics, and policy studies. Notable contributions include Saeed (2006), who argues for the contextual interpretation of the Qur'an in pluralist societies, and Rahman (1982), who highlights the role of historical consciousness in Islamic reform. Indonesian, Malaysian, and Middle Eastern scholars have also contributed significantly by applying maqashid to local legal codification, banking regulation, and family law, often mediated through regional fatwa councils and state legislation (Raquib et al., 2024).

In summary, the reviewed literature illustrates that integrating ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia offers a robust theoretical and practical framework for responding to digital transformation. The evolving consensus emphasizes the necessity of maintaining legal continuity with classical jurisprudence while ensuring ethical responsiveness to emerging technological realities.

Despite the richness of existing scholarship on ushul fiqh, maqashid Sharia, digital finance, virtual contracts, and digital ethics, the literature still reveals a notable gap. Most studies remain thematically segmented, addressing specific domains such as finance, family law, or ethics in isolation, without offering an integrative framework that systematically connects classical methodologies with the multidimensional challenges of the digital age. Prior research often focuses either on normative fiqh-based rulings or ethical-philosophical reflections, but rarely bridges the two in a comprehensive way that accounts for socio-digital realities, algorithmic governance, and global-local legal pluralism. This study uniquely positions itself by synthesizing these fragmented strands, advancing a holistic approach that not only reaffirms the dynamism of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia but also contextualizes their application across diverse digital transformations, thereby addressing a critical gap in contemporary Islamic legal discourse.

Hypotheses
Based on a critical analysis of the literature and the theoretical framework of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia in relation to legal adaptation in the digital era, several testable assumptions can be formulated to guide this research. These hypotheses aim to bridge the gap between classical Islamic jurisprudence and the evolving challenges posed by digitalization in law, finance, and socio-religious practices.
a. The application of ushul fiqh principles can provide a systematic and valid basis for deriving Islamic legal rulings in response to digital innovations, such as electronic contracts, virtual transactions, and online marriage.
b. The incorporation of maqashid Sharia into legal reasoning enhances the ethical relevance and societal adaptability of Islamic legal responses to digital transformation.
c. The combined approach of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia leads to more holistic, flexible, and future-oriented Islamic legal formulations suitable for regulating emerging technologies.

d. There is significant conceptual and practical compatibility between the objectives of maqashid Sharia and the core principles of digital governance, such as privacy, transparency, and justice.

e. The reinterpretation of classical legal tools through the lens of maqashid and ushul is necessary to ensure that Islamic legal thought remains sustainable and applicable in a digitally globalized society.

These hypotheses will be tested through qualitative analysis of primary sources, contemporary fatwas, legal instruments, and empirical case studies concerning digital law practices in Muslim-majority and minority contexts. The validation of these hypotheses will provide theoretical and practical contributions to the renewal of Islamic legal methodologies in the digital era.

Research Methods

This study employed a qualitative normative-legal approach, focusing on doctrinal analysis, to examine how ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia can provide foundational principles for Islamic legal reasoning in the digital era. The normative approach was chosen because it allows for in-depth investigation of legal concepts, norms, and doctrines based on authoritative Islamic sources in response to contemporary developments. It also supports the critical synthesis of classical jurisprudence with modern legal demands, without compromising textual integrity or ethical coherence. The data used in this research consisted primarily of library-based sources, including classical jurisprudential works (kutub al-turats), contemporary fatwas, journal articles, and digital era case studies. Key primary sources included the writings of jurists from both the formative and post-formative periods of Islamic legal thought, while secondary sources included thematic studies from peer-reviewed journals discussing digital marriage, electronic contracts, and fintech regulations in Islamic law (Yusuf et al., 2024).

The sampling technique employed was purposive, targeting works that explicitly intersect the methodology of ushul fiqh and the objectives of maqashid Sharia, with issues such as digital ethics, technological ijtihad, and normative innovation. This method ensures the relevance of the data to the research objectives and hypotheses. Priority was given to works that reflected both early juristic reasoning and modern adaptations to digital jurisprudence (Nassery et al., 2020)

To analyze the data, this study used content analysis combined with conceptual legal mapping, where concepts such as qiyas, istihsan, maslahah mursalah, and urf were categorized based on their appearance in classical sources and contemporary usage (Maulidizen & Raihanah, 2019). In addition, maqashid dimensions like ḥifẓ al-māl (protection of property) and ḥifẓ al-nafs (protection of life) were analyzed in the context of digital contracts and online systems). This enabled a critical interpretation of how traditional legal tools are recontextualized in today's socio-technological environment (Wanto et al., 2021).

Analytical tools included comparative thematic coding, the synthesis of interpretive reasoning (istinbath), and legal argumentation tracing. These tools allowed the researcher to identify epistemological consistencies and methodological innovations between the classical fiqh and its digital transformation. All findings were interpreted in relation to the research hypotheses and aligned with current debates in both the Muslim-majority scholarly sphere and global legal contexts.

However, while the study formulated hypotheses, the methodology section has not yet clearly outlined how these hypotheses will be tested for significance within the chosen research framework. Therefore, it is essential to elaborate further on how the normative-legal method and doctrinal analysis will support the evaluation of these hypotheses. Specifically, clarifying the link between doctrinal reasoning, the analytical tools employed, and the validation of hypotheses will strengthen methodological rigor and ensure that the conclusions of the study are academically accountable and verifiable.

The use of a qualitative normative-legal approach is particularly suitable in the digital era, because it enables the integration of classical Islamic jurisprudence with emerging technological realities without detaching from authoritative textual traditions. This approach allows scholars to critically reinterpret doctrines such as qiyas, maslahah, and maqashid Sharia in light of digital

challenges, thereby ensuring that legal reasoning remains both contextually relevant and ethically coherent. By employing doctrinal analysis, this study offers a structured framework for addressing complex issues, such as digital contracts, fintech regulations, and online marriage, in ways that are consistent with Islamic legal principles.

Nevertheless, this study had certain limitations. The reliance on library-based sources and secondary literature means that the findings are primarily theoretical without direct empirical validation through fieldwork or case-based data. This dependence on doctrinal and conceptual analysis restricts the scope of the research to normative theorization, leaving practical implementation and community-level perspectives underexplored. Future studies incorporating empirical data, such as surveys, interviews, and digital ethnography, would enrich the conclusions and provide stronger evidence for how these legal methodologies operate in real digital contexts.

Results and Discussion

Reconstructing the principles of ushul fiqh in responding to digital transformation

Digital transformation has fundamentally reshaped human interactions, commerce, and governance. In response, Islamic legal theory—Ushul Fiqh—must be reconstructed to remain relevant in addressing novel realities, such as digital finance, virtual communication, and AI decision-making. Classical methodologies rooted in analog contexts must evolve without compromising Sharia's integrity. This reconstruction allows Islamic law to retain its normative authority while embracing modern technological shifts (see Table 1) (Khoir et al., 2024).

Table 1. Visual mapping of ushul fiqh principles and digital issues

Ushul fiqh principle	Classical application	Relevant digital issues	Example of implementation
Qiyas (analogical reasoning)	Deriving rulings from similar causes	Smart contracts, blockchain-based agreements	Determining validity of intention and conditions in digital contracts
Istihsan (juristic preference)	Choosing public benefit in specific cases	AI use cases, algorithmic decision-making	Consideration of fairness & bias in AI usage
Maslahah Mursalah (public interest)	Protection of public welfare	Fintech, e-commerce, digital zakat	Halal investment platforms & digital zakat distribution
Sadd al-Dhara'i (blocking harmful means)	Preventing means leading to the unlawful	Cybercrime, hoaxes, digital addiction	Ethical regulation of social media and data protection
Fath al-Dhara'i (opening beneficial means)	Opening means towards benefits	Online education, e-dawah, crowdfunding	Community empowerment through Islamic digital platforms
Al-'adah Muhakkamah (custom as a legal consideration)	Social custom as a legal basis	Online shopping, cryptocurrency, remote work	Recognition of digital practices as new 'urf
La Yunkaru Taghayyur al-Ahkam bi Taghayyur al-Azman	Law changes with the change of time	E-money, crowdfunding, digital finance	Re-evaluation of contracts for new financial instruments

Source: Khoir et al. (2024)

Policy implications in Muslim countries

1. United Arab Emirates (UAE)

   The UAE has positioned itself as a hub for Sharia-compliant fintech and blockchain technology. Through the principles of qiyas and maslahah mursalah, the government developed Sharia-compliant digital assets and established the Dubai Islamic Economy Development Centre. This policy demonstrates how Ushul Fiqh can serve as an adaptive legal foundation, not only

supervising digital innovation, but also fostering an ethical investment ecosystem, protecting investors from excessive speculation, and strengthening the global reputation of the Islamic economy.

2. Bahrain

Bahrain was the first country in the Middle East to launch a regulatory sandbox for Sharia-compliant fintech. By applying the principles of Istihsan and *Sadd al-dhara'i*, regulators have been able to balance the need for technological innovation with consumer protection from practices involving gharar and riba. This sandbox provides a concrete example of the application of Maqasid al-Sharia in public policy, in which financial innovation can continue while being strictly supervised under Sharia to ensure that greater benefits are achieved for society at large.

One principle requiring careful renewal is *al-'adah muhakkamah* (custom is legal consideration). Digital behaviors such as online shopping, cryptocurrency usage, and remote contracts have become normative practices in today's society. These customs must be acknowledged within the framework of Islamic jurisprudence to avoid a disconnection between legal rulings and societal reality. Failing to accommodate new customs risks renders Islamic law static and alien to its adherents (Al-Syatibi, 1997; Akbar & Bakri, 2024).

The application of Maslahah Mursalah (unrestricted public interest) is vital for reconstructing Islamic responses to modern technology. Fintech platforms that promote ethical investment and e-commerce models that empower halal entrepreneurship provide clear public benefits. These innovations, although not mentioned in classical texts, align with the higher objectives of Sharia. Recognizing such a utility reinforces the adaptive nature of Ushul Fiqh (Billah, 2022).

By contrast, sadd al-dhara'i (blocking harmful means) must be reconsidered to address contemporary threats posed by digital media. Online platforms can become vehicles for slandering, fraud, or digital addiction. As such, Sharia must not only tolerate innovation but also regulate it with moral clarity. Reconstruction involves refining the balance between technological access and ethical restraint (Syaripudin & Hadriyanti, 2025).

A central classical principle affirms that laws may change with changing circumstances: la yunkaru taghayyur al-ahkam bi taghayyur al-azman. In the digital era, new financial instruments, such as e-money or crowdfunding, warrant the reevaluation of rules surrounding contract certainty, consent, and liability. This is not a deviation from Sharia but an affirmation of its capacity to adapt. Thus, legal dynamism is a virtue and not a threat (Susanto et al., 2025).

Bayani reasoning, traditionally rooted in textual literature, must accommodate symbolic and digital representations. Contracts signed electronically, AI-generated decisions, and data ownership rights are examples of new issues that require jurisprudential clarity. Expanding the Bayani methods to include digital expressions of intent is crucial for legal consistency. This evolution must be deliberate and grounded in both scripture and context (Al-Jauziyah, 2006).

The principle of *fath al-dhara'i* (opening beneficial means) must also be reconstructed. Digital tools can facilitate charitable giving, promote Islamic education, and empower marginalized communities through remote access. When structured within Sharia compliance, these benefits justify innovation as a moral imperative. Legal tools must thus be recalibrated not only to block harm, but also to unlock opportunities (Asyiqin et al., 2025; Takim, 2022).

Digital transformation also demands that we revisit how maqashid al-Sharia are prioritized in legal deduction. In online environments, safeguarding intellect (*hifz al-'aql*) may involve regulating the exposure to disinformation. Similarly, *hifz al-mal* (protection of wealth) may require embracing new mechanisms of financial security, such as biometric authentication. Prioritizing these goals ensures that Sharia remains both protective and empowering (Olyvia et al., 2024).

Classical jurisprudence often emphasizes physical presence and observable transactions that are challenging in digital settings. Smart contracts and blockchain-based agreements occur without human intermediaries, raising questions about intentions and accountability (Akinsola & Liang, 2025). Reconstructing Ushul Fiqh here means creating a legal framework that upholds Islamic ethics in nonphysical interactions. This evolution preserves the spirit of trust and clarity essential to contracts (Al-Syatibi, 1997; Hamzah, 2025).

Educationally, reconstruction must begin with how Ushul Fiqh is taught at Islamic institutions. Curricula should include modules for AI ethics, digital financial instruments, and Islamic data governance. Bridging the gap between classical texts and contemporary issues empowers future jurists to issue responsive and relevant fatwas. Without this reform, legal scholarship risks obsolescence in a rapidly evolving world (Salim, 2025; Zahraini et al., 2025).

Another aspect is methodological inclusivity, which encourages the synthesis of various Madhhab-based tools. Classical differences in legal reasoning can be harmonized to address contemporary issues, such as digital surveillance or online privacy. This approach cultivates a pluralistic yet coherent legal discourse grounded in Sharia but responsive to modernity. The result is jurisprudence that is united in values and diverse in application (Al-Alwani, 2005).

Moreover, the role of digital fatwa councils and online Sharia advisory boards must be expanded and standardized. Their authority should rest on both classical mastery and the technical awareness of contemporary systems. Reconstructing legal authority in this manner ensures that rulings are informed, contextual, and enforceable. This institutional update is the key to maintaining the relevance and influence of Islamic law.

The case of differing Islamic legal views on Indonesia's national health insurance (Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial, BPJS) exemplifies the reconstructed reasoning. One body rejected it because of the elements of riba and gharar, while another accepted it as a form of social solidarity. This divergence illustrates how classical principles, when contextualized, can yield different but valid conclusions. Reconstruction, then, does not mean uniformity but contextual justice.

Reconstructing the Ushul Fiqh also involves ethical foresight. For instance, the permissibility of AI-driven decisions must consider potential biases, autonomy, and human dignity. These factors are embedded in maqashid-based reasoning, reminding jurists that technology should serve—not override—human purposes. Ethical sensitivity remains the core of legal adaptation.

In conclusion, the reconstruction of Ushul Fiqh in the digital age is not merely theoretical but urgent and actionable. This ensures that Islamic law remains a source of guidance in the increasingly virtual world. By reinterpreting the established principles through the lens of maqashid, ethics, and context, Ushul Fiqh can be both timeless and timely. This reconstruction is not a departure from tradition, but a true continuation.

Reconstructing the integration of maqashid Sharia in the formulation of Islamic law in the digital era

Conceptual and methodological foundations

The digital revolution redefined many aspects of human life, including how Islamic law must be interpreted and implemented in contemporary contexts. The development of new technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and digital financial systems challenges the traditional structures of Islamic legal thought. Maqashid Sharia, known as the higher objective of Islamic law, offers a strategic foundation for adapting to these shifts while preserving ethical and religious integrity (Chong, 2021). Rather than resisting innovation, Islamic law guided by maqashids seeks to reconcile normative values with practical realities. Thus, the integration of maqashid in the digital era is not only a necessity, but also a manifestation of Islam's adaptability and commitment to public welfare (Alam et al., 2019; Haerunnisa & Sugitanata, 2024).

Historically rooted in classical tradition, Maqashid Sharia has evolved from a theoretical construct to a functional approach in legal formulation. Scholars such as Al-Ghazali and Al-Syatibi laid the foundational pillars, but modern thinkers such as Jasser Auda have emphasized their dynamic application in contemporary governance. Auda's framework highlights the need for systems-based thinking to address new realities, particularly where traditional fiqh appears insufficient. His shift from "preservation" to "development" in interpreting maqashids demonstrates how Islamic law can remain relevant without abandoning its principles. In today's legal discourse, this transformation is critical for constructing laws that align with both the divine intent and modern human needs (Fahrudin, 2021)

One significant challenge in the digital era is the regulation of cryptocurrencies within the Sharia framework. The volatility, anonymity, and speculative nature of crypto assets raise questions

regarding compliance with the Islamic principles of fairness, transparency, and the prohibition of gharar. By applying the lens of Maqashid Sharia, particularly the protection of wealth (*hifz al-mal*), scholars and regulators are working to establish ethical boundaries and technological safeguards for digital transactions (Mafrur & Hasim, 2025). Integrating these principles into legal norms helps ensure financial inclusion, while protecting users from harm. The goal is not to reject innovation but to ensure that it supports communal well-being and avoids exploitation (Arif et al., 2024).

In addition to economic issues, the formulation of Islamic law in the digital era must address the implications of technology on the ethical and spiritual dimensions. Social media, artificial intelligence, and surveillance technologies present potential threats to privacy, dignity, and mental well-being—elements connected to the protection of intellect (*hifz al-'aql*) and life (*hifz al-nafs*). Thus, contemporary Islamic jurisprudence must recontextualize legal rulings through a maqashidic lens that is both principled and flexible. Legal scholars are now increasingly called upon to issue fatwas that reflect not only textual fidelity but also an understanding of systemic harm and societal transformation. This necessitates a multidimensional and forward-looking jurisprudential approach (Rabiu et al., 2025; Auda, 2008).

Practical applications and future implications
Moreover, Maqashid Sharia provides a theoretical justification for innovation in Islamic legal thought, beyond rigid textualism. It allows for the pursuit of maslahah (public benefit) while remaining within the bounds of the Sharia objectives. In doing so, it bridges the gap between the immutable divine law and the changing nature of human circumstances. This is especially relevant when formulating digital-era policies on issues such as digital zakat distribution, Islamic crowdfunding, and online dispute resolution. Thus, the maqashid paradigm acts not only as a tool for legal reform but also as a transformative force for Islamic governance in a hyperconnected world (Fattah et al., 2022; Wiryanto, 2023).

The essence of Maqashid Sharia lies in its ability to protect essential human values, while accommodating the dynamic nature of society. In the digital age, when innovation is constant and disruptions are inevitable, Islamic law must be proactive rather than reactive. Through Maqashid Sharia, digital policies can be aligned with Islamic ethics by emphasizing justice, transparency, and communal benefits. For example, Islamic financial technologies (fintech) that offer smart contracts and decentralized finance must be evaluated not only for their legality, but also for their contribution to equitable access and risk mitigation. Hence, Maqashid Sharia functions as a moral compass for interpreting digital legality with the broader social conscience (Ghulam, 2016).

Digital finance and banking are among the most critical areas of application. As digital wallets, peer-to-peer lending, and AI-driven investment platforms have gained popularity, the risk of riba, gharar, and maysir has become a central concern. Maqashid-oriented regulation can offer an integrative path by embedding Sharia values into technological design. This includes mechanisms to ensure risk sharing, ethical investment screening, and protection for financially vulnerable users. Through this lens, innovation is not an end, but a means toward a just and inclusive economic system rooted in divine law (Asyifana, 2024; Salikin et al., 2025).

Notably, the concept of *hifz al-bi'ah* (protection of the environment), an extension of Maqashid Sharia proposed by contemporary scholars, demonstrates the expanding scope of the framework. Environmental sustainability in digital systems, such as energy consumption in blockchain mining, raises ethical questions that traditional jurisprudence has not addressed yet. Through Maqashid Sharia, environmental concerns were repositioned as theological imperatives. Legal formulations can thus include eco-conscious standards for digital operations and aligning Islamic law with global sustainable development goals. This illustrates how Islamic legal objectives can evolve to accommodate emerging global challenges (Aini et al., 2024; Khan & Sevinç, 2021)

The role of education in enhancing digital literacy from an Islamic perspective is also finds grounding in the maqashid of preserving intellect (*hifz al-'aql*). In a time when misinformation, deepfakes, and online exploitation proliferate, digital ethics and critical reasoning must become central to Islamic legal instruction. Integrating digital literacy into the curricula of Islamic institutions is not merely a pedagogical reform, but a legal obligation aligned with the protection

of mental and spiritual integrity. When properly implemented, this supports a generation that is capable of navigating digital realities without compromising Islamic values. Thus, the maqashid approach to education has becomes a shield against manipulation and ignorance in the digital age.

Maqashid Sharia also enabled constructive engagement with pluralistic and multicultural digital societies. As online spaces become melting pots of ideologies, beliefs, and norms, Islamic law must uphold *hifz al-din* (the preservation of religion) without descending into exclusivism. Digital da'wah, interfaith dialogue platforms, and AI-based religious services can serve as tools for protecting faith and promoting mutual respect. At the same time, maqashids require that religious expression online be ethical, non-coercive, and conducive to peace and dignity. Legal interpretations are grounded in maqashids, thus balancing between safeguarding Islamic identity and fostering harmonious coexistence in the global digital community (Bakri, 2015; Tahir & Hamid, 2024).

The integration of Maqashid Sharia into digital legal frameworks also supports the ethical governance of artificial intelligence and algorithmic decision making. As governments and corporations deploy AI to influence behavior and automate justice, Islamic law must ask whether these technologies uphold individuals' dignity and rights. Within a maqashid framework, AI systems should be evaluated based on their ability to protect life, intellect, and justice. This involves ensuring transparency, preventing bias, and maintaining accountability—factors that are often absent in contemporary AI policy. Through a maqashidic lens, legal scholars are empowered to offer guidance on the design and use of technology in ways that uphold human welfare (Majeed & Jasem., 2025).

Furthermore, the inclusion of Maqashid Sharia in cryptocurrency regulations reflects a meaningful response to financial innovations that disrupt traditional systems. As decentralized currencies challenge central banks, Islamic legal scholars are tasked with ensuring that these innovations do not violate the principles of fairness and wealth protection (Wartoyo & Haerisma, 2022). The volatility and speculative risks of cryptocurrencies may compromise the maqashid of *hifzal-mal* unless strong regulatory and educational safeguards are applied. Therefore, Islamic legal responses must promote the inclusivity and protection of vulnerable investors without entirely rejecting the benefits of financial innovation. A maqashid-based approach offers both a moral filter and a legal strategy for navigating this complex terrain (Arif et al., 2024).

Equally important is the protection of consumers in Islamic digital finance through adherence to maqashid principles such as *hifz al-nafs* and *hifz al-'aql*. Online fraud, data breaches, and unethical business models have increasingly affected Muslim users engaged in e-commerce and digital finance. Maqashid Sharia demands proactive design of policies that guard users against psychological and financial harm. This includes the regulation of advertising ethics, consent for data collection, and transparency in algorithmic recommendations. Islamic law, informed by maqashids, thus provides tools to protect consumers from being reduced to mere data points in a commodified digital ecosystem (Wilson, 2021).

The relevance of Maqashid Sharia in the digital age underscores its enduring vitality as a philosophical and legal framework. As societies digitize and human experience shifts to virtual platforms, the maqashid framework evolves from its classical form to a living system of jurisprudential reasoning. This flexibility allows Islamic law to remain effective and applicable, without surrendering to the relativism of contemporary ethics. With careful interpretation and systemic application, Maqashid Sharia can continue to guide legislation, policy, and education in ways that affirm Islam's message of mercy, justice, and balance. Through this integrative and progressive application, the law remains sacred and socially responsive.

In conclusion, the reconstruction of Islamic law in the digital age must rely on Maqashid Sharia not simply as a theoretical concept but as an actionable framework rooted in both classical tradition and contemporary insight. Its principles enable legal scholars to bridge the gap between divine revelation and digital disruption, creating a space for justice, innovation, and human dignity. Whether in cryptocurrency governance, AI ethics, financial technology, or digital education, Maqashid Sharia ensures that Islamic law retains its normative strength while adapting to emerging realities. This transformation calls for interdisciplinary collaboration, robust scholarship, and ethical courage within the Islamic legal community. Only then can Islamic law thrive as a living tradition in a rapidly digitizing world (Sudarmanto et al., 2024).

Conclusion

The digital era has introduced unprecedented challenges and opportunities for Islamic legal thought. This study concludes that the integration of ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia provides a robust and adaptable framework for formulating Islamic legal responses to contemporary technological development. Ushul fiqh contributes structured methodologies such as qiyas, istihsan, and maslahah mursalah, which enable jurists to derive rulings for issues not explicitly addressed in classical texts. Meanwhile, the maqashid Sharia offers a value-based orientation that ensures the preservation of the essential objectives of Islamic law in dynamic and complex digital contexts. Through qualitative normative analysis, this study has demonstrated that the reconstruction of Islamic law is both possible and necessary in domains such as digital finance, virtual contracts, artificial intelligence, and online marriage.

The study also underscores that effective legal adaptation requires not only jurisprudential tools but also ethical foresight, technological awareness, and interdisciplinary engagement. Ultimately, this study affirms that Islamic law is not static but evolutionary and capable of embracing innovation without compromising its divine principles. By embedding maqashid within ushul-based reasoning, Islamic jurisprudence can sustain its relevance, provide clear guidance, and meaningfully contribute to the governance of digital societies. The findings offer not only theoretical insights, but also practical pathways for scholars, regulators, and fatwa institutions to develop future-oriented, ethically grounded, and Sharia-compliant legal frameworks in the age of digital transformation.

First, the findings of this research effectively answer the study's objectives by showing that ushul fiqh and maqashid Sharia are not only compatible but also mutually reinforcing when addressing technological disruptions. Second, the study provides both theoretical implications by strengthening the position of maqashid as a dynamic jurisprudential paradigm and practical implications by offering frameworks that can be applied by policymakers, regulators, and Sharia advisory boards in digital governance. Third, the study has certain limitations, primarily its reliance on normative and library-based analyses without empirical validation through case studies or fieldwork. This may limit the ability of Muslim communities to fully capture how legal reasoning is implemented in practice across diverse contexts. Finally, future research should incorporate empirical studies, such as surveys, interviews, or digital ethnography, to test the applicability of maqashid-ushul integration in real-world settings and to explore sector-specific issues, including Islamic data ethics, AI accountability, and sustainability within digital finance.

Author contributions
Conceptualization: Safaruddin Harefa
Data curation: Safaruddin Harefa
Formal analysis: Safaruddin Harefa
Investigation: Safaruddin Harefa
Methodology: Safaruddin Harefa
Project administration: Safaruddin Harefa
Supervision: Safaruddin Harefa
Validation: Safaruddin Harefa
Visualization: Safaruddin Harefa
Writing – original draft: Safaruddin Harefa
Writing – review & editing: Safaruddin Harefa

References

Abou El-Fadl, (2001), *Speaking in God's name: Islamic law, authority, and women*, Oxford: One World Publication.

Aini, H., Ayu, I., & Maghfiroh, R. A. (2024). Keuangan Islam sebagai katalisator green economy: Menuju pencapaian SDGs dalam kerangka maqashid Sharia. *MADANI: Jurnal Politik dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan, 16*(3), 517–528. https://doi.org/10.52166/madani.v16i03.9145

Akbar, M. I., & Bakri, M. F. bin M. (2024). Al 'Adah Muhakkamah rules and their applications regarding the contemporary era share purchase and sale agreement. *Sharia Oikonomia Law Journal, 2*(4), 271–291. https://doi.org/10.70177/solj.v2i4.1501

Akib, A., Siradjuddin, & Sabbar, S. D. (2022). Development of Maqashid Sharia theory in modern times. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Social and Islamic Studies (ICSIS)* (Vol. 2, pp. 862–870). https://proceedings.uin-alauddin.ac.id/index.php/icsis/icsis_2022/paper/viewFile/764/436

Akinsola, O. K., & Liang, W. (2025). *Legal risks and challenges of implementing smart contracts in corporate governance.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388405262_Legal_Risks_and_Challenges_of_Implementing_Smart_Contracts_in_Corporate_Governance

Akhlaq, M., Jahangir, A. H., & Jamil, M. W. (2025). Islamic finance and digital currencies: Sharia perspectives on cryptocurrency and blockchain technology. *AL-AASAR Journal, 2*, 789–797. https://al-aasar.com/index.php/Journal/article/view/323/257

Al-Alwani, S. T. J. (2005). *Issues in contemporary Islamic thought.* The International Institute of Islamic Thought. https://iiit.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/issuesincontemporarislamicthought_combined__1_.pdf

Alam, N., Gupta, L., & Zameni, A. (2019). *Fintech and Islamic finance: Digitalization, development and disruption.* Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24666-2

Al-Jauziyah, I. Q. (2006). *I'lam al-muwaqqi'in 'an Rabb al-'alamin.* Dar al-Hadits.

Al-Qaradawi, Y. (2006). *Fiqh maqasid al-Sharia.* Dar al-Shorouk.

Al-Syatibi, A. I. (1997). *Al-muwafaqat fi usul al-Sharia.* Dar al-Ma'rifah.

Ali, F., Bouzoubaa, K., Gelli, F., Hamzi, B., & Khan, S. (2025). Islamic ethics and AI: An evaluation of existing approaches to AI using trusteeship ethics. *Philosophy & Technology, 38*, 120, 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-025-00922-4

Anshori, A. Y., & Abdurrahman, L. T. (2025). History of the development of mażhab, fiqh and uṣūl al-fiqh: Reasoning methodology in Islamic law. *Samarah: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga dan Hukum Islam, 9*(1), 273–298. https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhk.v9i1.25355

Arif, M., Subli, M., Hikmah, N., & Djanas, S. (2024). Penerapan maqosid Sharia dalam pembentukan hukum era digital: Regulasi cryptocurrency. *Al-Mustla: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman dan Kemasyarakatan, 6*(2). https://doi.org/10.46870/jstain.v6i2.1366

Arminsyah. (2025). Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah in contemporary legal systems: An analysis of digital rights and privacy protection. *Al-Qadha: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Perundang-Undangan, 12*(2), 289–308. https://doi.org/10.32505/qadha.v12i2.11178

Asyifana, N., & Fitriani, A.P. (2024). Fintech peer-to-peer lending in digital financial applications from the perspective of maqashid Sharia. *Journal of Islamic Economics, 4*(1), 42-51 https://jurnal.iainponorogo.ac.id/index.php/joie/article/view/9446

Asyiqin, I. Z., Akbar, M. F, & Onielda, M.D.A. (2025). The principle of self-submission in Sharia economic dispute resolution: A critical examination through Friedman's legal system theory. *Jambura Law Review 7*(2), 390-416. https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i2.27075

Asy-Syafi'i, I. M. I. I, (1990), *Al-Risalah,* Darul Kutub al-Ilmiah

Auda, J. (2008). *Maqasid al-Sharia as philosophy of Islamic law: A systems approach*. International Institute of Islamic Thought. https://iiit.org/wp-content/uploads/Maqasid-Al-Sharia-as-a-Philosophy-of-Islamic-Law-Combined.pdf

Auda, J. (2013). *Al-maqasid for beginners*. Suka Press.

Bakri, A. J. (2015). *Konsep maqashid Sharia*. Rajawali Press.

Billah, M. M. (Ed.). (2022). *Teaching and research methods for Islamic economics and finance*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003252764

Chong, F. (2021). Enhancing trust through digital Islamic finance and blockchain technology. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets, ahead-of-print*. https://doi.org/10.1108/QRFM-05-2020-0076

Dewaya, M. A. (2025). Innovation in Islamic finance: Integrating Blockchain with maqāṣid al-sharīʿah & ḥifẓ al-māl. *Journal of Emerging Economies and Islamic Research 13*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.24191/jeeir.v13i1.3852

Dusuki, A. W., & Abdullah, N. I. (2007). Maqasid al-shari`ah, maslahah, and corporate social responsibility. *American Journal of Islam and Society*, *24*(1), 25–45. https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v24i1.415

El-Gamal, M. A., (2006). Islamic finance: Law, economics, and practice. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511753756

Fahrudin. (2021). Nalar konstruktif maqashid Sharia dalam studi hukum Islam (Sebuah studi pengantar dalam ilmu maqashid Sharia). *Al-Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum, 6*(1), 36–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.22515/alahkam.v6i1.3744

Faizia, H. F. S., & Alib, H. S. (2024). The core principles of Islamic jurisprudence within legal theory: A comprehensive analysis. *Online Journal of Research in Islamic Studies, 11*(2), 57–72. https://doi.org/10.22452/ris.vol11no2.4

Fajar, M. S, & Zaelani, A.Q. (2025). Transformation of dowry from traditional practices to maqashid Sharia: A causal analysis of women's dignity and family harmony. *Ulul Albab: Jurnal Studi dan Penelitian Hukum Islam, 8*(2). https://doi.org/10.30659/jua.v8i2.41634

Fattah, H., Riodini, I., Hasibuan, S. W., Rahmanto, D. N. A., Layli, M., Holle, M. H., & Mutakin, A. (2022). *Fintech dalam sistem keuangan Islam: Sebuah Pengantar*. In Fintech dalam keuangan Islam: Teori dan praktik. Publica Indonesia Utama. https://repository.syekhnurjati.ac.id/9349/1/%5BABDUL%20AZIZ%5D%20FINTECH%20DALAM%20KEUANGAN%20ISLAM%20-%20Salin.pdf

Ghulam, Z. (2016). Relasi fiqh muamalat dengan ekonomi Islam. *Iqtishoduna, 8*(2), 167–178. https://repofeb.undip.ac.id/1155/

Haerunnisa, H. & Sugitanata, A. (2024). Eksplorasi teori disrupsi digital Clayton Christensen dan maqashid Sharia terhadap inovasi perbankan Sharia di era digital. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam, 3*(1). https://doi.org/10.57210/j-ebi.v3i01.290

Hamzah, F. (2025). *Enforceability of smart contracts in corporate governance: Legal and judicial challenges*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389875605_Enforceability_of_Smart_Contracts_in_Corporate_Governance_Legal_and_Judicial_Challenges

Kamali, M.H, (2003). Principles of Islamic jurisprudence. Islamic Texts Society., https://asimiqbal2nd.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/06/islamic-law.pdf

Karimullah, S. S., Sulastri, S., & Kamsi, K. (2024). Islamic law and the regulation of human rights issues in separatist conflicts. *Fitrah: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu-ilmu Keislaman 10*(2), 373–394. https://doi.org/10.24952/fitrah.v10i2.12260

Khan, F., & Sevinç, N. (2021). *Role of zakat in strengthening social protection during Covid-19 and beyond: Evidence from Bangladesh and Turkey.* In The 13th International Conference on Islamic Economics and Finance & The 7th International Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance Conference, October 2021. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356759334

Khallaf, M. (2019). *Ilmu ushul fiqih.* Pustaka Al-Kautsar.

Khoir, T., Munawaroh, L., & bin Hassan, Z. (2024). The critique toward uṣūl al-fiqh literacy of 1890–2023: An offer for development. *Al-Ahkam, 34*(1), 63-98. https://doi.org/10.21580/ahkam.2024.34.1.19595

Khusnan, A. (2020). Urgensi ushul fiqh dan qawaid fiqiyah dalam mencetak kader ulama ekonomi Sharia. *Al Iqtishod: Jurnal Pemikiran dan Penelitian Ekonomi Islam 8*(1), 29-38. https://doi.org/10.37812/aliqtishod.v8i1.85.

Mafrur, R., & Hasim, K. (2025). From gold dinar to digital ledger: Is Islam ready for cryptocurrency? *Journal of X, 8*(x), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5385786

Majeed, F. A., & Jasem, A. L. (2025). Perceptions of relational mobility among university students. *Journal of Posthumanism, 5*(7), 2266–2272. https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i7.3037

Maulidizen, A., & Raihanah, A. (2019). The technique of determining ijtihad and its application in life: Analysis of istihsan, maslahah mursalah, 'urf, and syar'u man qablana. *Al-Ahkam Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum, 4.* https://doi.org/10.22515/al-ahkam.v4i1.1600

Meyer, K. E., Li, J., Brouthers, K. D., & Jean, R. B. (2023). International business in the digital age: Global strategies in a world of national institutions. *Journal of International Business Studies, 54*(4), 577–598. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-023-00618-x

Mudawam, S. (2021). The uṣūl al-fiqh approach on the understanding of Islamic law in contemporary era: Source and contextualization. *Asy-Syir'ah: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah Dan Hukum, 55*(2), 315–334. https://doi.org/10.14421/ajish.v55i2.1004

Muhsin, S., Siradjuddin, S., Sabbar, D.S., & Ar, D.P. (2022) Consumption theory and benefit of consumption (*maslahah*) in Islamic consumer behavior. *Proceedings of The 2nd International Conference on Social and Islamic Studies*, Volume 2, 2022: 319-330. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370737419_CONSUMPTION_THEORY_AND_BENEFIT_OF_CONSUMPTION_MASLAHAH_IN_ISLAMIC_CONSUMER_BEHAVIOR

Munifatussaidah, A., Zahara, J. N., & Zein, F. (2024). Digital wallet transactions: Insight from Islamic economic and legal perspective. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Airlangga 34*(2), 301–317. https://doi.org/10.20473/jeba.V34I22024.301-317

Musarrofa, I., Muttaqin, H., & Amaliyah, R. (2024). The problems of Islamic family law in the digital era and its relevance to renewal of the compilation of Islamic law. *Jurnal Hukum Islam, 22* (1), 89–122. https://doi.org/10.28918/jhi_v22i1_4

Naila, S., Zaid, A., Azzahra, F.H., & Fadillah, R. (2024). Eksistensi digital wedding di era disrupsi dalam maqashid Sharia. *Interdisciplinary Explorations in Research Journal (IERJ), 2*(2), 631–641. https://doi.org/10.62976/ierj.v2i2.522

Nassery, I., Ahmed, R., & Tatari, M. (Eds.). (2020). *The Objectives of Islamic law* (1st ed.). Lexington.

Olyvia, N., Waluyo, Khotimah, R., Viona, L., & Oktavia, A. (2024). Regulasi dan pengawasan uang elektronik Sharia: Antara inovasi fintech dan kepatuhan Sharia. *Central Publisher, 2*(6), 2166–2175. https://doi.org/10.60145/jcp.v2i6.460

Permana, F., Saputra, R. F., Yusra, Y., Suryadi, N., & Hamzah, Z. (2024). The integration of Islamic finance in the global economy: Opportunities, challenges, and future directions. *International Journal of Information System and Innovation Management, 2*(2), 42–48. file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Downloads/955-Article%20Text-5404-2-10-20240806%20(1).pdf

Rabiu, A. A., Merican, A. M. B. M. N, & Al Murshidi, G. (2025). Ethics in the digital age: Exploring the ethical challenges of technology. *Journal of Information Systems and Digital Technologies, 7*(1), 29–50. https://doi.org/10.31436/jisdt.v7i1.555

Rahman, F. (1982). *Islam and modernity: Transformation of an intellectual tradition.* Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. https://ia903207.us.archive.org/2/items/FazlurRahmanIslamandModernity/FazlurRahmanIslamandModernity.pdf

Raquib, A., Channa, B., Zubair, T., Qadir, J., Sistyawan, D. J., Saraswati, R., A. L. W, L. T., Jayawibawa, M., & Aris, M. S. (2024). The development of positivism's legal theory: From Bentham to Hart. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum dan Sharia, 9*(2). https://doi.org/10.22373/petita.v9i2.402

Saeed, A, (2006), *Interpreting the Qur'an; Toward a contemporary approach.* New York: Routledge. http://islam-and-muslims.com/Abdullah-Saeed-Interpreting-Quran.pdf

Salikin, N., Ineizeh, N., Hazirah, N., Raghavan, S., Govindan, S., Bathmanathan, V., Paino, H., Nazarie, W., Eksan, S., Bakar, N., Sarji, N., Marzuki, A., Ramli, N., & Nooh, M. (2025). *Digital finance in Malaysia: An introduction to fintech for a new generation.* Innovation Excellence Ventures

Salim, M.A. & Aditya, R. B. (2025). Integration of artificial intelligence in Islamic education: Trends, methods, and challenges in the digital era. *Journal of modern Islamic studies and civilization 3* (1), 74-89. https://doi.org/10.59653/jmisc.v3i01.1368

Sudarmanto, E., Yusuf, S. R., Yuliana, I., Wahyuni, N., & Zaki, A. (2024). Transformasi digital dalam keuangan Islam: Peluang dan tantangan. *JIEI: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Islam, 10*(1), 645–655. https://doi.org/10.29040/jiei.v10i1.11628

Sujono, I., Mangesti, Y. A., Suhartono, S., & Kamaruddin, Z. (2022). Islamic legal perspective on the implementation of online marriage contracts during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Ulul Albab: Jurnal Studi dan Penelitian Hukum Islam, 6*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.30659/jua.v6i1.27982

Susanto, D., Sabbar, S. D., & Luthfi, M. (2025). Relevansi dan implementasi fiqh muamalah dalam transaksi ekonomi modern. *Jurnal Studi Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam (SEBI), 7*(1), 10–17. http://doi.org/10.37567/sebi.v7i1.3390

Syaripudin, E. I., & Hadriyanti, N. (2025). Tinjauan hukum Islam tentang penyebaran drama Korea melalui media telegram. *Jurnal Hukum Ekonomi Sharia (JHESY), 3*(2), 11–20. https://doi.org/10.37968/jhesy.v3i2.815

Syaripuddin, S., Sunarta, D.A., Mashuri., A., Mulyawan, A.W., Khaeriyah, H., & Wijaya, A. (2025). Foreign exchange transactions (*Sharf*) in the perspective of fiqh muamalah: Between classical traditions and the challenges of the contemporary global economy. *IJoASER: International Journal on Advanced Science, Education, and Religion, 8*(3), 56-64. https://ojs.staialfurqan.ac.id/IJoASER/article/view/1119

Takim, L. (2022). *Shi'ism revisited: Ijtihad and reformation in contemporary times.* Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197606575.001.0001

Tahir, T., & Hamid, S.H.A (2024). Maqasid al-Syari'ah transformation in law implementation for humanity. *International Journal Ihya' 'Ulum al-Din, 26*(1), 119–131. https://doi.org/10.21580/ihya.26.1.20248

Wanto, D., Hidayat, R., & Repelita, R. (2021). Maqasid Sharia's change as theory: From classical to contemporary maqasid Sharia. *Al-Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum Islam, 6*, 427. https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v6i2.3122

Wartoyo, & Haerisma, A. S. (2022). Cryptocurrency in the perspective of maqasid al-Sharia: A critical analysis of the Mafsadah (harm) and the Maslahah (benefit) of cryptocurrency. *Jurnal AFKARUNA, 18*(1), 110–139. https://doi.org/10.18196/afkaruna.v18i1.14164

Wilson, N., Keni, K., & Tanc, P.H.P. (2021). The role of perceived usefulness and perceived ease-of-use toward satisfaction and trust which influence computer consumers' loyalty in China. *Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business, 23* (3), 262–294. http://doi.org/10.22146/gamaijb.32106

Wiryanto, F. S. (2023). The urgency and strategic role of maqasid Shari'ah and maslahah in responding to the legal and economic challenges of Muslim business. *Journal of Middle East and Islamic Studies, 10*(1), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.7454/meis.v10i1.158

Yusdani, & Arfaizar, J. (2023). The environmental crisis in the perspective of contemporary Islamic studies in Indonesia. *Russian Law Journal, 11*(5), 412–428. https://russianlawjournal.org/index.php/journal/article/view/264

Yusuf, N., Harun, N., Syarifuddin, & Mursyid, S. (2024). Examining the basis of maqashid Sharia in renewal of Islamic law in Indonesia. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum dan Sharia, 9*(1). https://doi.org/10.22373/petita.v9i1.258

Zahraini, Z., Akib, A., Rosidin, R., & Sulaeman, O. (2025). Islamic education reform in the digital age: Challenges and opportunities for a modern curriculum. *Journal of Noesantara Islamic Studies, 2*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.70177/jnis.v2i1.1841