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Abstrak

A. Introduction

Socio-economic justice is one of the cherished goals of all societies. There is, however, a difference of opinion on the strategy that should be used to realize this goal. In spite of this difference, one element which is common in the strategy of four of the world’s major religions (Hinduism, Judaism, Christianity, and Islam) is the prohibition of interest? Since the followers of the first three of these religions have in general moved away from this prohibition, there are some Muslims who wish to do the same by arguing that what Islam has prohibited is *riba* and not interest. In their opinion bank interest is not *riba*. This raises the question of whether interest is really
prohibited in Islam. This paper tries to answer this question in the light of the Qur’an, hadith and fiqh.

The consensus prevailing among Muslims throughout history has been, and continues to be, that riba among other things, includes interest. This consensus is clearly reflected in the unanimous verdict of a number of international conferences of fuqaha (jurists) which have been held to discuss the question of riba, including the Mu’tamar al-Fiqh al-Islami held in Paris in 1951 and in Cairo in 1965, and the OIC and Rabitah Fiqh Committee meetings held in 1985 and 1986 in Cairo and Makkah respectively.4

The Pakistan Council of Islamic Ideology clearly reflected this consensus when it concluded in its 1980 report on the elimination of interest from the Pakistan economy that: “The term riba encompasses interest in all its manifestations irrespective of whether it relates to loans for consumption purposes or for productive purposes, whether the loans are of a personal nature or of a commercial type, whether the borrower is a government, a private individual or a concern, and whether the rate of interest is low or high”.5

B. The Prohibition of Riba

The prohibition of riba appears in the Qur’an in four different revelations.6 The first of these (30:39), revealed in Makkah, emphasized that while interest deprived wealth of God’s blessings, charity raised it manifold. The second (4:161), revealed in the early Madinah period, severely condemned it, in line with its prohibition in the previous scriptures. It placed those who took riba in juxtaposition with those who wrongfully appropriated other people’s property and threatened both with severe punishment from God. The third revelation (3:130-2), around the second or third year after Hijrah, enjoined Muslims to keep away from riba if they desired their own welfare (in the comprehensive Islamic sense). The fourth revelation (2:275-81), near the completion of the Prophet’s mission, severely censured those who take riba by declaring them to be at war with God and His Messenger, established a clear
distinction between trade and riba, and required Muslims to annul all outstanding riba, instructing them to take only the principal amount, and to forego even this in case of the borrowers’ hardship.

The Prophet, peace and blessings of God be on him, also condemned in the most unambiguous words not only those who take riba but also those who give riba and those who record the transaction or act as witnesses to it. He even equated the taking of riba to committing adultery thirty-six times or being guilty of incest with one’s own mother.

The meaning of riba

After knowing this severe verdict of the Qur’an and the Sunnah against riba, it is necessary to determine what the term riba really stands for. Riba literally means increase, addition, expansion or growth. It is, however, not every increase or growth which has been prohibited by Islam. In the Shari’ah, riba technically refers to the “premium” that must be paid by the borrower to the lender along with the principal amount as a condition for the loan or for an extension in its maturity.

In this sense, riba has the same meaning and import as interest in accordance with the consensus of all the fuqaha without any exception. The term riba is, however, used in the Shari‘ah in two senses. The first is riba al-nasi‘ah and the second is riba al-fadl.

Riba al-Nasi‘ah

The term nasi‘ah comes from the root nasa‘a which means to postpone, defer, or wait, and refers to the time that is allowed to the borrower to repay the loan in return for the ‘addition’ or the ‘premium’. Hence riba al-nasi‘ah is equivalent to the interest charged on loans. It is in this sense that the term riba has been used in the Qur’an in verse 2:275, which states that “God has allowed trade and forbidden riba (interest)”
The prohibition of *riba al-nasi’ah* essentially implies that the fixing in advance of a positive rate of return on a loan as a reward for waiting is not permitted by the *Shari’ah*. It makes no difference whether the rate of return is small or big, or a fixed or variable per cent of the principal, or an absolute amount to be paid in advance or on maturity, or a gift or service to be received as a condition for the loan. The point in question is the predetermined positiveness of the return. It is important to note that, according to the *Shari’ah*, the waiting involved in the repayment of a loan does not by itself justify a positive reward.

There is hardly any room even for arguing that the prohibition applies only to consumption loans and not to business loans. This is because the borrowing during the Prophets’ times was not for consumption purposes but rather mainly for financing long distance trade. Accordingly, the late Shaykh Abu Zahrah, one of the most prominent and respected Islamic scholars of this century, has rightly pointed out that:

> There is absolutely no evidence to support that the *riba* of *al-Jahiliyyah* [pre-Islamic days] was on consumption and not on development loans. In fact the loans for which a research scholar finds support in history are production loans. The circumstances of the Arabs, the position of Makkah and the trade of Quraysh, all lend support to the assertion that the loans were for production and not consumption purposes.\(^\text{13}\)

Even Professor Abraham Udovitch, Ex-Chairman of the Department of Near Eastern Studies at the Princeton University, has clarified that “Any assertion that medieval credit was for consumption only and not for production, is just untenable with reference to the medieval Near East.”\(^\text{14}\) Hence, the Quranic verse about remitting the principal in the event of the borrower’s hardship does not refer to consumption loans. It refers essentially to interest-based business loans where the borrower had encountered losses and was unable to repay even the principal, leave alone the interest.

The whole argument that interest causes hardship only for the one who borrows for consumption needs is misfounded. It is the obligation of the Muslim society to meet the dire consumption needs of the poor. Borrowing for conspicuous con-
sumption has been discoursed by Islam and most of the borrowing in the classical Muslim society was for business purposes.

It is only in this context that one may be able to understand the argument of the *Jahiliyyah* that trade is like interest and the distinction that the Qur’an draws between trade and interest. While in trade an entrepreneur has the prospect of making a profit, he also faces the risk of incurring a loss. In contrast with this, interest is predetermined to be positive irrespective of the ultimate outcome of business, which may be positive or negative depending to a great extent on factors beyond the control of the entrepreneur. Imam Razi (d. 313AH/925AC) himself posed the question of what was wrong in charging interest when the borrower was going to employ the funds so borrowed in his business and thereby earn a profit. His well-considered reply to the question was: “While the earning of profit is uncertain, the payment of interest is predetermined and certain. The profit may or may not be realized. Hence there can be no doubt that the payment of something definite in return for something uncertain inflicts a harm."15

Accordingly, *riba* is essentially in conflict with the clear and unequivocal Islamic emphasis on socio-economic justice. Financiers who do not wish to take the risk are entitled to only the principal and no more. Those who insist on charging *riba* in spite of its prohibition are declared by the Qur’an to be at war with God and His Prophet, peace be and blessings of God on him.

On the occasion of his Farewell Pilgrimage, the Prophet, while declaring the abolition of interest, announced the remission of interest accumulated in favour of his uncle Abbas ibn Abd al-Muttalib.16 This was interest on business loans extended to the Banu Thaqif tribe. This tribe had not taken the loan from Abbas and others for fulfilling consumption needs but for expanding their business.17 This was not an isolated case but a prevalent form of business financing in those days. Several tribe members having skill in trading acted essentially like large partnerships, borrowing finance from members of their own tribe or from other friendly tribes, to carry long-distance trade on a large-scale, which their own resources would not permit. This is
because they could not undertake too many business trips abroad from east to west. The slow means of communication, the difficult terrain and the harsh climate confined them to mainly two caravan trips during the year, one in summer and one in winter. Accordingly they collected all the finance they could muster to purchase the exportable local produce, sell it abroad and bring back what was necessary to satisfy the entire needs of their society for imports during a specific period. Most of the interest-based transactions mentioned in the classical commentaries in relation to the prohibition of *riba* are loans taken by tribes from each other, each tribe acting like a large partnership company. Islam abolished the interest-based nature of these relationships and reorganized them on a profit-and-loss sharing basis. The financier got a just share and the entrepreneur did not get crushed under adverse conditions, one of which was the caravan being way-laid on the way.

There is, thus, absolutely no difference of opinion among all schools of Muslim jurisprudence that *riba al-nasi’ah* stands for interest and, is *haram* or prohibited. The nature of the prohibition is strict, absolute and unambiguous. However, if the return on principal can be either positive or negative, depending on the final outcome of the business, which is not known in advance, it is allowed provided that it is shared in accordance with the principles of justice laid down in the *Shari’ah*.

**Riba al-Fadl**

While Islam has prohibited interest on loans and allowed trade, it has not allowed everything in trade. This is because it wishes to not merely eliminate the injustice that is intrinsic in the institution of interest on loans as well as all forms of dishonest and unjust exchanges in business transactions, but also close the backdoor to *riba* because, according to the unanimously accepted legal maxims of Islamic jurisprudence, anything that serves as a means to the unlawful is also unlawful. Since people may be exploited or cheated in several different ways, the Prophet warned that a Muslim could indulge in *riba* in seventy (= several) different ways. This is the
reason why the Prophet, peace and blessings of God be on him, said: “Leave what creates doubt in your mind in favour of what does not create doubt” (cited by Ibn Kathir in his commentary on verse 2:275). Caliph Umar was thus inspired to say: “Abstain not only from riba but also from ribah”.\(^{23}\) Ribah is from rayb which literally means ‘doubt’ or ‘suspicion’ and refers to income which has the semblance of riba or which raises doubts in the mind about its rightfulness. It covers all income derived from injustice to, or exploitation of, others. Thus, it may be said in brief that anything that is unjustifiably received as ‘extra’ by one of the two counterparties to a trade transaction is *riba al-fadl*, which may be defined in the words of Ibn al-Arabi as “all excess over what is justified by the counter-value”\(^{24}\).

The Prophet, peace and blessings of God be on him, has indicated, by way of example, at least four different ways of indulging in *riba al-fadl*. These are not all-inclusive but, nevertheless, help us understand the implications of *riba al-fadl*. The first of these is the exploitation that may take place in trade through the use of unfair means even though trade is by itself allowed. He equated with riba even the cheating of an unsophisticated entrant into the market (*ghabn al-mustarsil*) and the rigging of prices in an auction with the help of an agent (*al-najash*).\(^{25}\) Analogically one may conclude that the extra money earned through such exploitation and deception falls within the ambit of *riba al-fadl*.

Another way of being guilty of indulging in *riba al-fadl* is by accepting a reward in return for making a recommendation in favour of a person.\(^ {26}\) This implies that the performance of an apparently charitable act with the intention of making money surreptitiously is also prohibited. The rationale behind this may be that such a money-motivated recommendation might give benefit to a person who does not deserve and, thereby, indirectly deprive others who are more deserving.

A third way of indulging in *riba al-fadl* is through barter transactions because of the difficulty of measuring the counter-values precisely in such transactions. The Prophet, peace and blessings of God be on him, therefore discouraged barter in a monetized economy and required that the commodity to be exchanged on the basis of
barter be sold against cash and the proceedings used to buy the needed commodity.\(^{27}\) (Appendix 2, Hadiths C.5, C.6 and C.7)

This leads to the fourth way of indulging in \textit{riba al-fadl} which has received the maximum attention of the \textit{fuqaha}. A number of authentic \textit{hadiths} stipulate that, if the same genus of commodities is exchanged against each other, then the same quantity and weight of the commodities (\textit{sawa’an bi sawa’in} and \textit{mithlan bi mithlin} or equal for equal and like for like) should be exchanged hand-to-hand (\textit{yadan bi yadin}).\(^{28}\) If the commodities exchanged are different, it does not matter if there is difference in weight and quantity, provided that the exchange takes place hand to hand. One of the implications of this requirement is the elimination of the backdoor to \textit{riba} (which is referred to in \textit{fiqh} as \textit{sadd al-dhari’ah}). Another implication of these \textit{hadiths}, as understood by the \textit{fuqaha}, is the prohibition of futures transactions in foreign exchange. This is because, if the rate of exchange is fixed in advance for a futures exchange in currencies, there may be a great deal of injustice if the market rate of exchange changes. The \textit{Shari’ah}, therefore, requires that in a futures transaction the exchange must take place on the basis of the rate prevailing on the date of settlement. However, whether hedging, which is one way of managing the risks involved in exchange rate fluctuations, is possible within the constraints of the \textit{Shari’ah} is a question which needs the attention of the \textit{fuqaha}.\(^{29}\)

**Implications of the Two Types of Riba**

\textit{Riba al-nasi’ah} and \textit{riba al-fadl} are, thus, essentially counterparts of the verse “God has allowed trade and prohibited \textit{riba}” (2:275). While \textit{riba al-nasi’ah} relates to loans and is prohibited in the second part of the verse, \textit{riba al-fadl} relates to trade and is implied in the first part. Because trade is allowed in principle, it does not mean that everything is allowed in trade. Since the injustice inflicted through \textit{riba} may also be perpetuated through transactions in commodities and currencies, \textit{riba al-fadl} refers to all such injustices or exploitations. It requires absence of rigging, uncertainty and
speculation. It demands a fair knowledge of the prevailing prices and the quality of goods being purchased or sold on the part of both the buyer and the seller. It necessitates the elimination of cheating in prices or quality, and in measures or weights. All business practices which lead to the exploitation of the buyer or the seller must be effectively eliminated.30

While *riba al-nasi’ah* can be defined in a few words, *riba al-fadl*, interspersing a vast array of business transactions and practices, is not so easy to specify. This is what may have prompted ‘Umar, the Second Caliph, to say: “The Prophet, peace and blessings of God be on him, was taken without elaborating it to us”.31 The attempt to justify *riba al-nasi’ah* or the interest on loans on the basis of this saying of Umar is absolutely fallacious because the reaction of Umar, by way of caution, was to give up not only *riba* but also *ribah*.

It is true that the Prophet specified only a few ways of indulging in *riba al-fadl* and did not indicate all the different ways, as one may have desired. However, this was not necessary and not even feasible. Forms of injustice and exploitation in trade and exchange of currencies have changed over the centuries and it was not possible for anyone to foresee and specify them all 1400 years ago. The Qur’an and the *Sunnah* are there to provide the principles on the basis of which the *Ummah* can do so. This is the ongoing challenge to all Muslims – to examine their economic practices continually in the light of Islamic teachings and to eliminate all shades of injustice. This is a more difficult task than eliminating *riba al-nasi’ah*. It requires a total commitment and an overall restructuring of the entire economy within the Islamic framework to ensure justice. This was, and is, the unique contribution of Islam. While *riba al-nasi’ah* was well-known in the *Jahiliyyah*, the concept of *riba al-fadl* was introduced by Islam and reflects the stamp of its own unflinching emphasis on socio-economic justice.

**C. CONCLUDING REMARKS**
The principal reason why the Qur’an has delivered such a harsh verdict against interest is that Islam wishes to establish an economic system where all forms of exploitation are eliminated, and particularly the injustice perpetuated in the form of the financier being assured of a positive return without doing any work or sharing in the risk, while the entrepreneur, in spite of his management and hard work, is not assured of such a positive return. Islam wishes to establish justice between the financier and the entrepreneur.

Under these circumstances it is difficult to see how anyone could justify interest in an Islamic society. The difficulty to understand the prohibition comes from lack of appreciation of the whole complex of Islamic values, and particularly its uncomprising emphasis on socio-economic justice and equitable distribution of income and wealth. Any attempt to treat the prohibition of *riba* as an isolated religious injunction and not as an integral part of the Islamic socio-economic order with its overall ethos, goals and values is bound to create confusion.

---
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