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#### Abstract

This paper describes a system to classify Indonesian speech into voiced-unvoiced-silence (VUS). In this system, a speech of 16 KHz is segmented into frames of 10 milliseconds with overlap of $20 \%$. Next, each frame is characterized using 3 features in time domain: frame energy $(E)$, level crossing rate $(L C R)$ and differential level crossing rate (DLCR). Furthermore, each frame is classified using an Evolving Feedforward Neural Network (EFNNs), which is Feedforward Neural Network (FNNs) that be trained using evolutionary algorithms (EAs). Finally, the classified frames are concatenated to get a right VUS classification. The training data is combination of 18 consonants and 7 vowels from a single speaker. Whereas validation set and testing data is developed from 25 word speeches represent all the combination of consonants and vowels. Computer simulation shows that the best FNNs architecture is 3-10-3 (3 inputs, 10 hidden unit, and 3 output units) and the appropriate number of training data is 150. It gives a total accuracy of 0.7366, where the accuracies for voiced, unvoiced, and silence respectively are 0.6206, 0.6428, and 0.9626. Since the accuracies for voiced and unvoiced are very low, then the whole VUS system is poor, even a filtering procedure has been applied.
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## 1. Introduction

Voiced-unvoiced-silence classification is one of important problems in speech processing area. In phonetically speech recognition, information about voiced, unvoiced, and silence is the main problem. Indonesian is a language with very simple rules of phonetics. There are only 18 consonants (unvoiced) and 7 vowels (voiced) [8]. It is much simpler than English. More than 230 millions Indonesian people use this language. Thus, it is very important to develop a speech recognition system for this language. Many applications can be created using this speech recognition system.

This research focuses on classification of Indonesian speech into voiced, unvoiced, and silence. Research by Mark Greenwood and Andrew Kinghorn showed that using two features, Signal Energy Rate (SER) and Zero-Crossing Rate (ZCR), yields average accuracy $65 \%$ for 10 English speeches [1]. It is caused by overlapping of the two time domain features. Using an additional feature in frequency domain, wavelet packet of Daubechies 8, improved the accuracy of VUS classification to $90.2 \%$ for four Indonesian word speeches [3]. Wavelet packet showed good performance of feature extraction, but it is very time consuming. In this research, 3 features in time domain, frame energy (E), level crossing rate (LCR) and differential level crossing rate (DLCR), are used for time reason.

Research objectives:

1. Study three time domain features of VUS;
2. Develop a VUS system using the features;
3. Investigate performance of the system.

## 2. VUS system

VUS system consists of four stages: normalization, segmentation, feature extraction, and classification, as illustrated by figure 2.1 below.


Figure 2.1 Block diagram of the VUS System.
Speech is recorded (the format is .wav) using frequency sampling 16 KHz and 16 bit level of quantization. To eliminate the amplitude difference in recording phase, the speech is normalized into range $[-1,+1]$. Furthermore, speech is segmented into frames of 10 milliseconds ( 160 samples) with overlap $20 \%$ to increase the accuracy. Each frame is extracted to be three features, E, LCR, and DLCR. In the last stage, each frame is classified using EFNNs.

### 2.1 Feature Extraction

The three features used in this research are described below:

## 1. Frame Energy (E)

$$
\operatorname{ENERGY}(k)=10 * \log _{10}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)^{2}+1\right)
$$

where $k$ represents the analysis frame and $N$ is the length of the analysis frame and $X(n)$ is input speech signals without preemphasis.

## 2. Level Crossing Rate (LCR)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L C R(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{sgn}(n) \\
& \operatorname{sgn}(n)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }\left[\left(x(n)-l c r_{-} l e v e l\right)\right. \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where lcr_level represents the level defined in the level crossing rate and $\operatorname{sgn}(n)$ becomes 1 if the speech signal crosses the predefined level. In our case, this value is set as the median value of the samples from the 100 ms silence region. From an observation over the training data, I get the lcr_level of 0.0297 .

## 3. Differential Level Crossing Rate (DLCR)

$L C R(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{sgn}(n)$
$\operatorname{sgn}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if }\left[\left(d x(n)-d l c r_{-} \text {level }\right) *\left(d x(n+1)-d l c r_{-} \text {level }\right)<0\right] \\ 0 \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
$d x(n)=x(n)-x(n-1)$,
where dlcr-level of 0.0297 is obtained by the same method used in 2 above.

### 2.2 Classification

In this stage, I use EFFNs that is an FFNs that be trained using Evolution Algorithms (EAs). FNNs is very popular neural network. It stores knowledge and experience of learning efficiently into a number of neurons. FNNs is time consuming in training process since it needs many iterations. But, after training process the trained FNNs gives a high speed computation since it needs only one calculation (no iteration).

The EAs 'randomly' manipulates binary data based on evolution and biology theory. This algorithm is suitable for very complex problems with 'infinite' solution space. The EAs can be used to train FNNs simply by representing weights and biases into a chromosome. In this problem, I use a chromosome that contains binary numbers. In this case, I use 30 bits for each weight or bias. Thus, for FNNs with structure 3-10-3 ( 3 inputs, 10 hidden units, and 3 output units), I have a chromosome that contains $(40+33) \times 30=2190$ bits (genes). Next, each chromosome will be decoded into an individual that contains real numbers (each real number represents a weight or a bias). To measure the quality of individual, I use a fitness function based on mean absolute error (MAE) over a given training data. The fitness function is

$$
f=\frac{1}{M A E}
$$

Furthermore, a population that contains a particular number of individuals will evolve based on evolution theory (selection and replacement) and biology theory (crossover and mutation). For simplicity, I use standard EAs with roulette wheel selection using linear fitness ranking, one point crossover, and elitism (to keep the best individual).

## 3. Training Data, Validation Set, and Testing Data

In Indonesian, there are 18 consonants: b, c, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}$, and z , and 7 vowels: a, e, ê, i, o, õ, and u [8]. Thus, I developed the training data using combinations of the consonants and vowels as described by Table A. 1 in appendix A. Segmentation process, manually, for all the speeches yields 45,727 frames that divided into three classes: 23,858 voiced frames, 3,353 unvoiced frames, and 18,516 silence frames. Each frame consists of 160 samples. Extraction process for a frame yields a pattern that consists of 3 features (as described in section 2.1). Thus, I have 45,727 patterns as training data (figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1 The distribution of training data over the three features.

Since the number of training data is too large, then I developed some small training data by selecting patterns from each class. It is very difficult to develop a good training data that represent all the patterns. Hence, I simply use a random procedure. Since EAs and BP are very time consuming, then I decide to develop 3 groups of training data:

- 150 patterns ( 50 for each class)
- 300 patterns (100 for each class)
- 1500 patterns ( 500 for each class)

By using the same way as in training data, I developed testing data using speech data described by Table A. 2 in appendix A. After segmentation and extraction, I get 32,116 patterns that are divided into three classes: 19,144 voiced, 2,223 unvoiced, and 10,749 silences. I developed a validation set by randomly selecting 1000 patterns for each class, so that I get 3000 patterns. This validation set is used to
see the capability of neural network in generalization of unseen data. Next, I decided that all the 32,116 patterns are used as testing data to measure the performance of trained neural network. The testing data is illustrated by figure 3.2 below.


Figure 3.2 The distribution of testing data over the three features.

## 4. Simulation Results

In this simulation, I use EAs with roulette wheel selection using linear fitness ranking and one point crossover. To simplify the problem, I use fixed parameter values: population size of 100 individuals, each weight and bias are represented by 30 bits, crossover probability of 0.8 , and mutation probability of 0.001 . These values are found by trial and errors in a few experiments. This algorithm is time consuming. Using 100 individuals means I have to calculate 100 error calculations (one calculation per individual) in each generation.

### 4.1 Training results

Firstly, I do an experiment to find an appropriate FNNs structure and the number of training data. I do this using only 1000 generations to safe time. The figures 4.1 and 4.2 below show that FNNs with 10 hidden units give better results than FNNs with of 20 hidden units. The figures also show that using 150 training data gives the lowest mean absolute error (MAE).


Figure 4.1 MAE of 10 hidden units FNNs over various numbers of training data.


Figure 4.2 MAE of 20 hidden units FNNs over various numbers of training data.

Next, to make sure that the appropriate number of training data is 150 data, I check its capability of generalization using 3000 data in validation set. Figure 4.3 shows that using 150 training data give the lowest error rate for validation set.


Figure 4.3 Error rate over training data and validation set. Using 150 training data gives the lowest error rate of around 0.35 (a). Using 300 training data gives error rate of around 0.45 (b). And using 1500 training data gives error rate of around 0.38 (c).

Based on the results above, I train the FFNs use 150 training data for more generations $(10,000)$. The results are shown by the two figures 4.4 and 4.5 below. In figure 4.5, the error rate fluctuates over validation set. This happen when error rate over training data reduce sharply in generation close to 4000. But, finally, the FFNs can generalize the 3000 validation set with error rate around 0.24 . This phenomenon is a characteristic of EAs that sometimes find much better individual (after crossover). This individual, of course, much better for training set (error rate reduce sharply in generation close to 4000), but it could be very bad (too over fit) for validation set (error rate increase sharply greater than 0.5 in generation close to 4000).


Figure 4.4 MAE for 10 hidden units FFNs using 150 training data.


Figure 4.5 Error rate over 150 training data and 3000 validation set.

### 4.2 Testing results

Using results from figure 4.4, I test the trained FFNs to 32,116 testing data (i.e. 19,144 voiced, 2,223 unvoiced, and 10,749 silences). The complete results are as follow:

- Accuracy for voiced is 0.6206
- Accuracy for unvoiced is 0.6428
- Accuracy for silence is 0.9626
- Total accuracy is $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 6 6}$


### 4.3 VUS System Testing

Testing results of the VUS system shows that there are some one-frame segments are misclassified (figure 4.6). Hence, a filtering procedure is simply applied to eliminate the one-frame segments. Using this procedure I get a better result is illustrated by figure 4.7. The procedure changes sequences:

```
SSSUSSS }->\mathrm{ SSSSSSS,
SSSVSSS }->\mathrm{ SSSSSSS,
UUUVUUU }->\mathrm{ UUUUUUU, etc.
```



Figure 4.6 Result of VUS system without filtering. There is very narrow voiced segment (one frame) lies between unvoiced.


Figure 4.7 Result of VUS system after filtering. There are some one-frames eliminated.

## 5. Conclusions

Training and testing data show that there are many overlaps among the three time domain features. The training of EFNNs shows that it is difficult to define the number of training data needed to make the trained EFNNs has capability of generalization over the testing data. Depend only on random procedure, the optimal number of training data is 150 .

From the experiment, the best architecture of EFNNs is 3-10-3 (3 inputs, 10 hidden units, and 3
output units). The VUS system gives a total accuracy of 0.7366 , where accuracies for voiced, unvoiced, and silence respectively are 0.6206 , 0.6428 , and 0.9626 . Since the accuracies for voiced and unvoiced are very low, then the whole VUS system is poor, even a filtering procedure has been applied.

## 6. Future Work

Using three time domain features, we can safe much time. Unfortunately, the total accuracy is very low, only 0.7366 . The problem could be the three features are still not enough to distinguish three classes of speech. There are many overlaps in the features. The other possible problem could be the training data is not rich enough to generalize the testing data.

To solve the problem, we can find other alternative time domain features. We can also try to use frequency domain features, but we need a smart procedure to reduce time processing. The other work we can do is finding a particular procedure to select representative training data (not only random).
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Appendix A Training and Testing Data

Table A. 1 Speech data as training data

| No | Speech | Manually defined Indices of VUS segments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ba - be - bê } \\ & -\mathrm{bi}-\mathrm{bo}- \\ & \text { bõ - bu } \end{aligned}$ | 238125539326137101391419958 249112510231577354083561341732 450284526251579552125540162534 661006629471520 |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ca}-\mathrm{ce}-\mathrm{ce} \\ & -\mathrm{ci}-\mathrm{co}- \\ & \mathrm{con}-\mathrm{cu} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272730499809142211466421705 \\ & 255922600032894370503750843687 \\ & 475664795354905592475956667211 \\ & 716287196077892 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { da - de - dê } \\ & -\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{do}- \\ & \mathrm{dõ}-\mathrm{du} \end{aligned}$ | 128214508393120401220119044 232382340530115344143459840700 447544491651435556095577462866 673006746973097 |
| 4. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{fa}-\mathrm{fe}-\mathrm{fê} \\ & -\mathrm{fi}-\mathrm{fo}- \\ & \mathrm{fo}-\mathrm{fu} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2948621312342168082009626833 \\ & 312873488141031458934920555025 \\ & 590636220268084714107522881100 \\ & 850138787692533 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. | $\begin{aligned} & g a-g e-g e ̂ \\ & -g i-g o- \\ & \text { gõ }-\mathrm{gu} \end{aligned}$ | 137617258104119881238918757 228502341029543326803320939000 436444419550098533425379760238 628246332568335 |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ha - he - hê } \\ & \text { - hi - ho - } \\ & \text { hõ - hu } \end{aligned}$ | 3206496010271150571653522352 286143027135835424514409549702 546635622661459690756996275488 792158061386879 |
| 7. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ja}-\mathrm{je}-\mathrm{jê}- \\ & \mathrm{ji}-\mathrm{jo}-\mathrm{jo}- \\ & \mathrm{ju} \end{aligned}$ | 132917398509106281118617228 209562154927455315083240237987 414254183347403510455171157622 606946127666756 |
| 8. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{ke}-\mathrm{kê} \\ & -\mathrm{ki}-\mathrm{ko}- \\ & \mathrm{kõ}-\mathrm{ku} \end{aligned}$ | 176419528825144781478621489 276162783134710398844028046174 517225194458706642076440772032 775967785782654 |
| 9. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { la }- \text { le }-1 \hat{\mathrm{e}}- \\ & \text { li }-\mathrm{lo}-\mathrm{o}- \\ & \text { lu }- \end{aligned}$ | 176023539264138991426421894 262712667333911380623843845732 506155093157795640906440171504 764567680183049 |
| 10. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ma}-\mathrm{me}- \\ & \mathrm{me}-\mathrm{mi}- \\ & \mathrm{mo}-\mathrm{mõ}- \\ & \mathrm{mu} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210832439597161811717722928 \\ & 296873109936409421764364049095 \\ & 545585563561083667546793074669 \\ & 799208096386575 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 11. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na - ne - nê } \\ & -\mathrm{ni}-\mathrm{no}- \\ & \mathrm{nõ}-\mathrm{nu} \end{aligned}$ | 192330829427141211527021444 269292796033997382353974644951 504935144657475616426275069783 740897532881537 |
| 12. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pa}-\mathrm{pe}-\mathrm{pe} \\ & -\mathrm{pi}-\mathrm{po}- \\ & \mathrm{põ}-\mathrm{pu} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 192920908306126101280318279 \\ & 229872336829027335013369839379 \\ & 428424326848872538675414860011 \\ & 645936475270478 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ra}-\mathrm{re}-\mathrm{rê} \\ & -\mathrm{ri}-\mathrm{ro}- \\ & \mathrm{rõ}-\mathrm{ru} \end{aligned}$ | 119820287796116661243118722 224592359729943346593535641789 465194734054168592325995465512 688986980475984 |
| 14. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sa }-\mathrm{se}-\mathrm{se} \\ & -\mathrm{si}-\mathrm{so}- \\ & \mathrm{son}-\mathrm{su} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2524623412390159731988325639 \\ & 299943311437880440954688252259 \\ & 561336006064934700907317579588 \\ & 822358478890073 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ta }-\mathrm{te}-\mathrm{te}- \\ & \mathrm{ti}-\mathrm{to}-\mathrm{to}- \\ & \text { tu } \end{aligned}$ | 185020338981145921479721980 <br> 293032949935995410654126346510 <br> 519185212857960652956550172040 <br> 791587937184879 |
| 16. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wa - we - } \\ & \text { wê - wi - } \\ & \text { wo - wõ - } \\ & \text { wu } \end{aligned}$ | 213828688969146951537222488 281282870834984392433996445794 511435237358924640476503072112 781597862185098 |
| 17. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ya - ye - yê } \\ & - \text { yi }- \text { yo - } \\ & \text { yõ - yu } \end{aligned}$ | 37121099516505169232423429955 306973808544864462045389059378 606236769473914745738342388581 8909396181 |
| 18. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{za}-\mathrm{ze}-\mathrm{ze} \\ & -\mathrm{zi}-\mathrm{zo}- \\ & \mathrm{z} \tilde{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{zu} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 297551469298149731732023807 \\ & 303613260136925427694487751038 \\ & 573635904164827711207300277758 \\ & 844548716993336 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Table A. 2 Speech data for Validation Set and Testing Data

| No | Speech | Manually defined Indices of VUS segments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Kota baru | $\begin{aligned} & 126716026509932096841589523769 \\ & 24037308773203036768 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. | Sore hari | 2074 5193 10096 10963 16978 <br> 25739 24604    <br> 10549 31337 36382   |
| 3. | Kêreta <br> kêmana | 1630 1844 8138 11582 12272 18828 <br> 22689 22920 29604 32792 33103 39741 <br> 41000 41719 48344 50996 51603 56075 |
| 4. | Ini tali | $\begin{array}{lllllll} 1644 & 9200 & 10240 & 12297 & 18431 & 23307 \\ 23500 & 30305 & 32795 & 34457 & 39541 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5. | Biro toko | 1957 2230 7808 10947 11798 18057 <br> 22171 22367 27821 30203 30480 35398 |
| 6. | Rõti rõna | 2799 3939 10775 14544 14842 20847 <br> 25981 26678 32470 36301 37087 42228 |
| 7. | Batu kuda | 1112 1299 7636 10631 10845 17450 <br> 21974 22285 28161 31341 31530 37620 |
| 8. | Baca bêrita | 1302 1547 7270 10195 10639 16797  <br> 21358 21603 27992 32030 33403 39241  <br> 43364 43605 47957     |
| 9. | Cari acara | 2257 2673 8515 11245 12088 18847  <br> 22934 29312 32728 33212 39599 42542  <br> 43826 49912      |
| 10. | Dari abadi | 1756 1931 7597 10878 12085 17890  <br> 23194 29682 33500 33696 40146 43287  <br> 43462 48626      |
| 11. | Fita fana | 3918 6608 12594 16634 16849 23137 <br> 26710 29914 36302 39288 40506 45564 |
| 12. | Ragi sagu | $\begin{array}{llllll} 2667 & 2977 & 9822 & 13803 & 14482 & 20996 \\ 26314 & 28209 & 34638 & 36725 & 37413 & 43322 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 13. | Hara tahu | 2173 3258 9692 11971 13214 19019 <br> 23627 23827 29715 33354 34068 39832 |
| 14. | Jari jika | 152120347161879097831634320351 2113626345279082833933698 |
| 15. | Kata saku | 2041 2340 8544 11723 11940 18351 <br> 21232 23525 29572 32252 32534 37422 |
| 16. | Lama beli | $\begin{array}{llllll} 1791 & 2355 & 9251 & 13892 & 14988 & 20781 \\ 26289 & 26564 & 33174 & 35310 & 36841 & 41945 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 17. | Mana mami | $\begin{array}{llllll} 1258 & 2456 & 8514 & 10655 & 11907 & 17868 \\ 21374 & 22473 & 28672 & 30455 & 31629 & 36235 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 18. | Pena napi | 116513466920887398971516918965 1974325526271602738432172 |
| 19. | Pola tapi | 1533 1747 8431 10613 12185 18315 <br> 21712 21902 28834 30865 31064 37510 |
| 20. | Raja roma | 1865 2435 9419 11840 12390 18546 <br> 24164 24815 31264 33702 34636 39383 |
| 21. | Sama nasi | 3282 5884 13020 16922 18595 25382 <br> 30815 32175 39359 43483 44655 50333 |
| 22. | Tari satu | 1566 1743 7503 10970 11713 17890 <br> 22400 23635 29513 31500 31716 36764 |
| 23. | Wana wisata | 1382 2274 7599 9415 10545 15900 <br> 19144 19956 25401 29640 30375 36516 <br> 38402 38591 43596    |
| 24. | Kaya yoyo | $\begin{array}{llllll} 1181 & 1504 & 7554 & 10295 & 11863 & 17298 \\ 20964 & 21889 & 28238 & 30443 & 31539 & 37448 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 25. | Zeni zona | 1653 4658 10739 13453 14837 19843 <br> 23901 24947 30885 33472 34388 39455 |

